

CACC

NEWSLETTER

June 1, 1982

THE SENATOR AND THE COMMUNIST DANGER

The Honorable Birch Bayh was the keynote speaker at a conference on "Public Schools and the First Amendment" which was held in Indianapolis, April 20-21. The conference was sponsored by the Indiana University's School of Continuing Studies, School of Education and Phi Delta Kappa. I was invited to participate also and to speak on the subject: "The Communist Threat in the Public Schools."

I listened with attention and expectation as ex-Senator Bayh spoke. A man who served as U. S. Senator from Indiana for three terms and was Chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee for three years should have a great deal of insight and information to give. As his speech progressed, my expectation gave way to bewilderment and finally fear. His speech frightened me.

It was not what he said that alarmed me but what he failed to say. His silence was much more eloquent than his words. The bulk of his message consisted of a rambling and somewhat intolerant attack on the evil nature of intolerance. He concluded by warning against the threats to the freedom of U.S. citizens that presently exist, and he drew attention to two major dangers: 1) An attack on the U.S. A. by the military forces of the Soviet Union; and 2) The loss of individual freedom by erosion and neglect.

Attack on the U.S. A by Soviet Forces

Bayh presented the scenario of Soviet marines landing by parachute and conquering by military means. He then assured the audience that this would not happen because the U. S. was too strong. In a few sentences he disposed of the threat presented by the enormous military might of the Soviet Union.

I wonder how many of his listeners were comforted and reassured. He had been a U. S. Senator for 18 years and, as he informed us, Chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee for three years. If anyone should know the nature and extent of the Soviet danger, he should.

He reminded me of a student who rose to rebut my conclusion after I had spoken to an assembly at the University of California at Berkeley on the subject, "The Communist Program to Conquer the U.S.A." She said: "You stated that the communists do not intend to conquer the U.S.A. by victory in a thermonuclear war; that they do not plan to seize power by an internal workers' revolution; and that they do not expect to be voted into power by the electoral process. If this is so, they cannot conquer the U.S.A. There is no other way."

I replied: "Oh, yes! There is another way.

It is conquest by surrender."

Surrender

The communists are working incessantly to secure the surrender of a U.S.A. which is encircled without and demoralized within. They are confident that this surrender will be mandated by the need to avoid a possible "thermonuclear war" which might destroy all mankind.

It is the threat of the possibility of thermonuclear war, not nuclear war itself, which is the communist weapon of conquest. To make the threat credible, they have sacrificed the well-being of the Soviet people and built monstrous missiles which can deposit massive megatonnage on the cities and ground-based missiles of the U. S. A. They have spent vast sums to maintain communist power in each country which the communists conquer, as demanded by the

Brezhnev Doctrine, and as illustrated by Soviet activities in Cuba, Afghanistan, Ethiopia and Angola. The vision of U . S. surrender motivates the organizers of their worldwide peace campaign. Their goal is conquest by surrender.

Senator Bayh gave no sign of any awareness of this communist strategy. How is it possible that a man could attain such a high position in the U. S. Government and retain it for so long and yet remain so abysmally ignorant of Soviet intentions and strategy? Various possibilities present themselves and none of them are pleasant.

Stupidity

1. He is stupid and lacks the intellectual capacity to perceive the obvious and understand the elementary. To believe this is to insult the fine people of Indiana who thrice elected him as their Senator. It is also belied by his success in his personal and political life. At one time he was considered to be a viable candidate for the U.S. Presidency.

Discretion

2. He was tailoring his address to his audience and telling them what he believed they wanted to hear. There may be a measure of truth in this. The majority of his audience were academics who probably had a "liberal" outlook on life. Many of them would be far more concerned about the "threat" to liberty allegedly posed by the Moral Majority than about that of the Soviet military machine. They are more fearful of the Rev. Jerry Falwell than they are of Leonid Brezhnev. They would not welcome the battering and possible shattering of their cherished illusions by a statement of the factual but unpleasant truth, and might react by hostility towards the one who forced the facts upon their attention. It was politically expedient to allow them to retain their illusions and to stimulate their prejudices.

Deceit

3. He was consciously and deliberately deceiving the American people by attempting to sedate them in the face of the communist danger. While this is always a theoretical possibility, since the communists have shown remarkable skill in locating their agents in high places in the democratic world, I know of no evidence to suggest this is the case. I believe that Senator Bayh is a patriotic U . S. citizen and that there must be another reason for his inability to see the obvious and enormous communist danger.

Liberal Delusion

4. He is the victim of his liberal consciousness. One of the characteristics of this consciousness is its inability to see any danger "from the left." Just as a color-blind person is often unable to see the color red and to differentiate red from green, such liberals are unable to see the essential evil inherent in communism. They see faults, but they excuse these as incidental and temporary and interpret them as overreactions to existing evils. They believe that Marx and his followers are "progressive" and on the side of the poor. They are confident that, with a little understanding and cooperation, the hyperactive Marxists will discard their excesses and enter the mainstream where their sacrificial energy will be most welcome. To them, the true danger comes from the right, from the Fascists. As Susan Sontag said recently, liberals and radicals of her nature and generation have been unable to realize that Communism is Fascism successful Fascism.

Some are obsessed with "schizophrenic" guilt. Their guilt is schizophrenic because it is collective, not personal. They are outraged by the "obscene" lust for profits which characterizes capitalism while they willingly accept the material benefits and social privileges which these profits bring to them personally. They are indignant because "racist" parents object to their children being transported by bus to schools many miles from home, while they send their own children to private and essentially segregated schools. They oppose U.S. support for dictators while they urge closer relations with Castro and Cuba. They feel so superior to the rednecks and fundamentalists who cherish the traditions and beliefs about family, church, and school which were dominant in America for so many years. They are intoxicated with change. They believe progress is inevitable and that change is good because it brings progress. The old is scorned; the new is embraced. If there is one word which describes them, it is "pride".

In Washington, D . C., Senator Bayh was surrounded by such people. They were his eyes and hands as he observed national and international affairs and designed programs. It is not surprising that he absorbed some of their ideas and attitudes. What makes these ideas so frightening is that they direct many of those who form and execute the policies

and programs on which the security of the U . S . A. depends.

The Real Danger

Jesus referred to the things that are hidden from the wise and prudent but revealed unto babes. The communist danger is unseen by so many liberal academics while it is obvious to those whom they would classify as their intellectual inferiors. Ordinary people have no difficulty seeing that the conquest of a country in Central America increases communist world power and adds to the encirclement of the U . S . A. A doctorate of philosophy may help assemble the subtle rationalization which leads to the conclusion that each new conquest weakens communist world power, so we need not be too concerned about communist conquests.

To these liberal intellectuals, the communist drive for world power is a myth and the anticommunists are simplistic and paranoid. Yet reason affirms that the very existence of the Brezhnev Doctrine, which states that every advance of communism must be maintained at all costs and that no country can be permitted to abandon communism, proves that the communists are dedicated to world conquest.

Reality may be unpleasant and unwelcome, but there is no other possible foundation for a successful foreign policy. Soviet military might does not threaten to land marines by parachute, but it does make the danger of thermonuclear war credible and thereby creates political forces which threaten to abolish U . S. options and paralyze U . S. policies. The voices of the advocates of surrender are now abroad in this land.

If the Soviet marines enter this country, it will not be by parachute, but as a result of negotiated agreements which are presented as necessary to save mankind.

It is wise to remember that the Soviets are eager to avoid thermonuclear war. Their program is to create political pressures within the U.S. A. by organizing and supporting "peace" movements which will force the U. S A. to make concessions. While this proceeds, every effort is made to conquer Third World countries by propaganda, subversion, and guerrilla war. This program must be observed, understood, counteracted, and defeated.

SOVIET GUNS IN SOUTHERN AFRICA

The control of Southern Africa is a primary objective of the Soviet Union. Their attainment of this objective would deliver a devastating blow to the U.S. A. as it would give the Soviets a near monopoly over strategic minerals, such as chrome, which are essential for hardening steel. Without adequate supplies of these strategic metals, it would be exceedingly difficult for the U.S.A. to build the weapons needed for defense.

The Soviets are distributing their own weapons widely in Southern Africa. The following article, which appeared in the April 4 edition of the London Times authored by Neil Hooper, describes how the Soviet is arming the countries adjacent to South Africa:

A dramatic picture of the Soviet military build?up in Black states bordering South Africa has been unveiled in evidence submitted to the United States senate subcommittee on security and terrorism.

Dr Peter Vanneman, chairman of the Department of Political Science at the University of Arkansas and an authority on Soviet policy in Africa, told the sub?committee that the cornerstone of Soviet policy in Southern Africa was to supply arms, advisers and training to its 'clients" to destabilise the area.

According to a transcript of his evidence, Dr Vanneman testified before the committee, headed by Senator Jeremiah Denton, that the Soviet Union had supplied Angola, Mozambique, Zimbabwe, and Zambia with:

- 135 fighter aircraft.
- 527 tanks.
- 704 armoured cars.

- 778 troop carriers.
- 738 medium artillery guns.

He also detailed Soviet Union military aid to Tanzania, which although an East African nation, he included because insurgents into Southern Africa were trained at six bases there and because of its influence in Mozambique.

In addition, the Soviet Union has sold 30 armoured cars to Botswana.

What should be borne in mind was that in February last year, the leader of the Soviet Union, Leonid Brezhnev, had committed his nation "in the most authoritative fashion" to expanding involvement in Southern Africa.

He said indicators of the intensity of the Soviet long-range interest in Southern Africa included:

- The creation of three new Soviet departments to deal specifically with Southern Africa.
- Since 1981 the Kremlin had sent a new cadre of diplomats to Southern Africa. An example of the importance attached to this was that the Soviet Embassy in "underdeveloped Botswana immediately adjacent to South Africa, mushroomed to include a staff of over 50 persons, headed by a highly professional official, Nikolai Petrov"
- The quantity of heavy military equipment and the number of advisers the USSR had supplied to states in Southern Africa, aimed at destabilising the area.

"As the Kremlin knows, instability tends to enhance its influence at the expense of Western initiatives like that in Namibia.

"Going further, weapon stockpiles could ultimately serve as sources of pre-positioned hardware for Soviet proxy power projections," he said.

- The Soviets have switched to using Luanda, the capital of Angola, and Aden, in the Horn of Africa, as bases for regular aircraft reconnaissance of naval movements around Africa. Previously they used bases at Conakry on the west coast and Berbera, in the Gulf of Aden.
- A Soviet naval fleet is serviced at Luanda, and uses drydock facilities at Maputo.

Dealing with the results of the Soviet policy in Africa during the past decade, Dr Vanneman said the Soviet gains had been "substantial" when compared to its position after the Congo debacle in the early '60s.

"Since the Portuguese coup in 1974, four African states have declared themselves Marxist-Leninist (Ethiopia, Angola, Mozambique and Congo-Brazzaville); one (Madagascar) adopted a charter of socialist revolution.

"And 11 are designated by the USSR as 'progressive' states or those demonstrating a 'socialist orientation'."

INCREASING ACTIVITY OF U.S COMMUNISTS

The active and growing program of the U.S. Communist Party is illustrated by the Extraordinary Conference of the Communist Party, U.S.A. which was held in Milwaukee during the April 24-25 weekend.

A report of this conference appears in the April 29 edition of the Daily World It refers to several functioning communist fronts. These are: 1) Ohio Peace Council; 2) Young Workers Liberation League in Washington, D . C.; 3) Women for Racial and Economic Equality (WREE).

By MIKE GIOCONDO

MILWAUKEE ? Why did almost a thousand people come to Milwaukee from around the country for the Communist

Party's extraordinary conference last weekend?

The extraordinary conference, called because of the the economic crisis and the Reagan Administration's assault on working people, was the second the party has ever held. The first was held in the depth of the Great Depression in 1933.

One party member recalled attending that extraordinary conference. Conditions then, he said, were similar to today.

"Hoover was saying then that the government isn't responsible for aid progams. Hoover said, 'Leave it up to private charity,' just like Reagan is doing," he said.

"We have to fight all over again."

In interview after interview, people who had come from all parts of the country repeated this sentiment they were in Milwaukee to plan a new fight for working people's rights.

Many told of a new upsurge among rank and file workers and people in youth, community and peace organizations.

Jackie Lavallo, a member of the Ohio Peace Council in Cleveland, was enthusiastic about the convention.

She said recent demonstrations for peace and arms reduction show a growing mass movement drawing new sections of the population.

"The reports show that there has never before been such participation in these demonstrations from Black people and trade unions," she said.

"The possibility is there to involve many more people because of the growing fightback against Reagan policies and military spending," she said.

"More people are demanding that our nation's priorities be rearranged to meet people's priorities."

"They want less money spent on bombs and military systems and more spent on a better life, jobs and social benefits. They are seeing that the fight for peace is directly related to a better life on the job and in their homes," she said.

Joe Sims works with youth and is section chairman for the Young Workers Liberation League in Washington, D.C.

He says youth, especially Black youth, are in an upsurge. They are fighting Reaganomics to demand jobs, better education and tuition loans.

An example of that upsurge, he said, was the recent fight against tuition tax credits proposed for the District of Columbia.

"Youth were very active in getting out leaflets, petitions and lobbying. It was through their efforts that the initiative was defeated by a 10?1 vote," he said.

Sims added that many recent actions, speakouts, rallies and meetings in honor of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., have called for jobs.

"Many youths are faced with the reality of permanent joblessness and see no future. We are living in extraordinary times and that is why the party called for an extraordinary conference.

Queenie Mustin, who works for Actors Equity in Chicago and is a member of Women for Racial and Economic Equality (WREE), said she came to the conference to learn more about how to organize.

"I've listened to others who have similar problems and who want the same thing. In WREE we are fighting against

racism and for a strong affirmative action program.

"We're also supporting a national child care program that will give a parent the opportunity to work or be educated.

"The conference is giving me the energy to work harder for these goals," she said.

One leading communist front, The National Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression (NAARPR), is not mentioned in this article, but it is extolled in the same edition of the communist newspaper which features a speech by Angela Davis:

"Stormy applause greeted Angela Davis' call at a rally here Saturday evening to join the growing legions fighting against Reaganism.

"Ms. Davis, a member of the Central Committee of the Communist Party, USA, and co-chair of the National Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression, was interrupted repeatedly by applause and chants of approval from the 1,500 people in attendance at the 'Take a Stand Rally Against Reaganomics.'

"For every person who takes a stand here in Milwaukee, there are tens of thousands ready to take a stand around the country against the policies of the Reagan Administration,' Ms. Davis said." (Page 5)

INTERVIEWS OF DR SCHWARZ ON VAN IMPE T.V PROGRAM

The Jack Van Impe television program will present two interviews of Dr. Fred Schwarz during the period from June 28 to July 10. The interviewer is Mrs. Rexella Van Impe, and she asks many probing questions concerning communism.

Please identify the stations in your area and be sure to watch the programs; inform others of them and urge that they watch also.

COMMUNISM IN NICARAGUA

The leaders of the Sandinistas, who govern Nicaragua, make no secret of the fact that their objective is to establish a complete communist dictatorship. They state it repeatedly in speeches and interviews but camouflage it somewhat by the use of the word Socialism for Communism.

When the word Socialism is used, it is imperative to ask what type of Socialism is under discussion. This question can be answered by considering who is using the term.

The communists define Socialism as "the first stage of Communism." During this stage, government is by the monopolistic rule of the Communist Party, and is misnamed the Dictatorship of the Proletariat. It should be noted that the communists call their system of government in Russia "Socialism" not Communism. The official name of Russia is the U. S . S . R. or the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Communism is promised for some indefinite time in the future.

When the communists wish to be precise, they call their system, "Scientific Socialism"

The May 10 edition of Intercontinental Press published by the Trotskyist Communists, contains an interview with Lucio Jimenez, general secretary of the Workers Federation. The caption is, "We Are Fighting for a Socialist Future." The interview tries to answer the questions: "What is the current stage of the revolution in Nicaragua? What lies ahead?"

Jimenez points out that mass discussions of this question have been organized under the slogan: "Defend the revolution, for the building of Socialism. Lest there be any doubt of what is meant by "Socialism" he states: "The objectives we are defending are the revolution and its conquests, in short, socialism.. workers are grappling with and discussing the ideas of scientific socialism.

We have been informed and warned.

POST OFFICE BOX 890 227 EAST SIXTH STREET LONG BEACH, CA. 90801-0890 Area Code (213) 437-0941

Christian Anti-Communism Crusade

June 1, 1982

Dear Friend,

The following letters are typical of many encouraging communications which come almost every day:

"I have just finished reading your book, 'You Can Trust the Communists (to be Communists).' It is by far one of the most informative and engrossing books I have ever read. I realize that I have been a victim of communist indoctrination for years. While in college in the sixties, there was such an anti-American fervor because of the Vietnam War. Now I am able to see just who was pulling the strings! And it angers me that I was such a dupe??but never again.

"Thank you for your dedication to this cause. Your sacrifices have been great. I feel responsible and accountable concerning the truths I have learned and will be informing those I am in contact with, especially my family, about these truths." (Omaha, Nebraska)

I feel it is imperative, especially with this rapidly snowballing 'peace' movement in the churches, to circulate as many copies of this book as possible.

"Enclosed is \$20, and I am sorry that I don't have more. Please send me as many copies as possible. Each will be given to someone who is a thinker with the stipulation that after they finish reading it, they will return it or, better still, pass it on to someone else."

"After reading 'You Can Trust the Communists (to be Communists),'

Go thou and do likewise!

(San Antonio, Texas)

The truth is powerful, but it is not self-proclaiming. We are engaged in worldwide dissemination of the truth about communist doctrine, practice, and objectives through the distribution of the two books: "You Can Trust the Communists (to be Communists)" and "Why

I Am Against Communism." Specific projects are:

1. Distribution of both books to U.S. students and teachers.
2. Distribution of the Spanish edition of "Why I Am Against Communism" to students and teachers of Latin America with special emphasis on Guatemala.
3. The distribution of 1,080,000 copies of the Chinese-English edition of "Why I Am Against

Communism" to the teachers and students of the Republic of China (Taiwan). The translation, printing, and distribution of this book will cost \$76,725. We have already sent \$50,000 and \$26,725 remains to be paid. We place a book in the hands of a teacher or student for less than 8 cents.

4. The printing and distribution of 50,000 copies of the Italian translation of "You Can Trust the Communists (to be Communists)" to the students, teachers, and opinion molders of Italy. We have recently given \$20,000 for this purpose.

5. Anti-communist seminars in Nigeria and Kenya.

6. Providing literature, tapes, tape recorders, bicycles, projectors and support for Christian anti-communist workers in the Philippines, India, Latin America and other countries.

Surely you can see the need for our Million-Dollar Truth Fund. Have you sent your donation yet? I am awaiting your letter and gift with an expectant and thankful heart.

With Christian love,

Fred Schwarz