



Dr. Fred Schwarz

# The Schwarz Report

Volume 51, Number 4



Dr. David Noebel

April 2011

## The Leftist Love Affair with the Muslim Brotherhood

by Jamie Glazov

The Left's political pilgrimage vis-à-vis totalitarian monstrosities continues unabated. With the Islamo-Fascist Muslim Brotherhood now poised to share governance in Egypt in the post-Mubarak era, progressives worldwide are salivating with glee. And it's to be completely expected of course: the Left has a long and eerie tradition of worshipping at the feet of tyrants and terrorists. The Muslim Brotherhood is the ideological forebear of Hamas and al-Qaeda. Its top priorities are to implement Sharia law, annihilate Israel, and purify Egypt from American and Western infections with human blood. Naturally, the Left has now found a new target for a political romance.

The usual suspects are leading the progressive charge: the notorious Obama fundraiser group Code Pink, the Party for Socialism and Liberation (PSL), A.N.S.W.E.R., and the International Action Center (IAC), founded by Ramsey Clark. These leftist groups are now on the frontlines cheering on the Islamists in Egypt as Khomeini-style killing fields loom ominously on the horizon for the Middle Eastern country. Members of the political faith simply can't contain themselves from worshipping at the feet of tyrants who are set on perpetrating a mass slaughter and are intent on bringing the carnage to America. Anti-American "feminist" Naomi Klein set the tone perfectly a few years back for her comrades when, in a column in *The Nation*, she enunciated her heartfelt longing for Muqtada al-Sadr's killing fields to come to New York.

And so, our Radical-in-Chief is unsurprisingly joining in on the Progressive-Brotherhood love-fest, extending his hand of solidarity to the Muslim Brotherhood and giving the Islamist group a green light to share power in a post-Mubarak Egyptian government.

Obama is, of course, just doing what comes naturally to him, as he revealed his own political romance with radical Islam immediately upon taking office. Back in February 2009, for instance, Obama made sure to reward Hamas for launching rockets into Israeli towns and cities by flirting with the terrorist group and announcing plans to place \$900 million of American taxpayers' money into its blood-soaked hands. The president followed up by going on his own political pilgrimage, traveling to the Middle East in April 2009 to bow in front of the Saudi king. The love affair was brought to a climax two months later, in June 2009, this time when the president traveled to Cairo University to address the Muslim world and invited at least ten Muslim Brotherhood members to attend.

Thus, it makes total sense that the president continued to indulge in putting quite distinguished people on his "guest lists." When the Obama White House had its infamous 2010 Ramadan dinner, the guests included two Islamists: Ingrid Mattson and Salam Al-Marayati. Mattson heads the Islamic Society of North America (ISNA) that was named as an unindicted co-conspirator in the trial of the Holy Land Foundation for Relief and Development. An Islamic "charity," the Foundation was convicted in 2008 for funding the Palestinian terrorist organization Hamas. Al-Marayati heads the Muslim Public Affairs Council (MPAC), an anti-Israel organization that spends most of its time obfuscating and equivocating about the terrorist threat. Al-Marayati has distinguished himself with his view that if Islamic groups were suspects for the 9/11 attacks, then Israel should be as well, since it had the "most to gain."

While the president plays his part, leftist scholars and journalists are also joining the Brotherhood odyssey. Bruce Riedel is particularly distinguishing himself in this theater. A senior fellow at the Brookings Institution's Saban Center, Riedel is, unsurprisingly, one of Obama's chief advisors on the Middle East and is promoting the Muslim Brotherhood, arguing that the U.S. needs to befriend and show warmth to the group—as well as to Hamas and Hezbollah. In his mind, the onus is on the United States to "understand" the Muslim Brotherhood, because it "is the most reasonable face of Islamic politics in the Arab world today."

*Salon* reporter Justin Elliott, meanwhile, is calling for an American dalliance with the Brotherhood. He is joined by John Feffer, co-director of the leftist think tank Foreign Policy In Focus, who is hailing the Islamist group, titling his recent Huffington Post article “Two Cheers for the Brotherhood.” There are also the likes of Islamist apologists and leftists like Reza Aslan who are trying to get the Brotherhood into power in Egypt by sanitizing it. As Aslan adamantly implores for all of us to understand, the Muslim Brotherhood is not really so bad and doesn’t represent a threat at all. Why? Well, because “people like Mike Huckabee and Rick Santorum” are just as dangerous since they are “two men whose views on the role of religion and politics are almost identical to those of the Muslim Brotherhood.” That’s a comparison for the record books.

In reaching out to the Muslim Brotherhood, all these leftists are taking a page from the book of their guru Jimmy Carter, who has led his political flock by example—and, oh, let us count the ways. Carter pulled the rug out from under the shah’s feet in 1979 and helped the Ayatollah Khomeini achieve power in Iran. He went out of his way, during the Gaza War in the winter of 2008–2009, to support Hamas, whose main purpose is to annihilate Israel—and whose members spend time reading *Mein Kampf* and doing the Nazi salute.

In a *Washington Post* piece titled “An Unnecessary War,” Carter spoke dismissively of Israel’s thirst for life as if Article XI in Hamas’ charter doesn’t exist and as if the Nazi organization is some kind of social welfare agency. Getting down into the moral sewer for the millionth time in his life, he referred to “a defensive tunnel” being dug by Hamas—you know, sort of like the Nazis had to build the “defensive” chambers at Auschwitz, Buchenwald, Dachau, and Treblinka.

Carter also did his progressive duty and traveled to meet and worship the objects of his veneration in person. In April 2008, he embarked on a political pilgrimage to Cairo and Damascus to show his personal affection to various mass murderers. Part of his itinerary included a face-to-face passionate encounter with Hamas leader Khaled Meshal, the mastermind of several high-profile terror attacks.

Carter was clearly trying to outdo MIT professor Noam Chomsky in dancing with Islamist devils. It remains a debatable question who wins on the tally sheet of this particular leftist dance-off. It could legitimately be argued that Chomsky has outscored all other members of the political faith in setting the tone for the Left’s current Muslim Brotherhood romance.

Back in May 2006, for example, he traveled to Lebanon to consummate a political love affair that he had begun in the 1990s. Arriving in a southern suburb of Beirut, Chomsky entered the headquarters of Hezbollah and warmly embraced the terror organization’s secretary general, Sheikh Hassan Nasrallah. It was a thrilling moment for the professor, for finally he could apologize to a Nazi for being a Jew in person. And there Chomsky was, with the distinguished honor of standing in the company of the bloodthirsty jihadist murderers who had slaughtered 241 American servicemen at the Marine barracks in Lebanon in 1983 and, of course, untold numbers of Israeli citizens over many years.

Chomsky justified these murderous crimes as “legitimate resistance” against an oppressor. Having praised the terrorist organization throughout the 1990s, Chomsky could now put his complete seal of approval on Hezbollah. And he did: he gave his blessing to Nasrallah, praised his cause, and approved his refusal to disarm.

And so, the current leftist romance with the Muslim Brotherhood has its solid foundations to stand on. The historical precedents and inspirations are there, in infinite numbers, to give guidance and sanctioning for the new terror-loving and monster-venerating political pilgrims of our time.

—Pajamas Media, February 18, 2001

---

## Destroying the American Civilization

by Lisa Graas

According to the Team B II Report by a who’s-who of top national security experts at The Center for Security Policy, “most Muslim organizations in America are controlled by the Muslim Brotherhood or a derivative group.” Meanwhile, the Obama Administration engages in “willful blindness-induced failures” to address “as great a threat as any enemy the nation has ever confronted.” The Muslim Brotherhood, also known as the Ikhwan, supports armed struggle against non-Muslims and has itself identified the Islamic Movement in America to be a part of the “grand jihad in eliminating and destroying the Western civilization from within and ‘sabotaging’ its miserable house by their hands and the hands of the believers so that it is eliminated. . . .” This “stealth jihad,” referred to as “civilization jihad,” is based in Islamist hatred for

Western civilization, hence their unwillingness to conform to American standards of jurisprudence. It is through the “legal, political, military doctrine known within Islam” as Shariah that these organizations are engaging in a non-violent, “for the moment,” campaign to undermine and destroy America.

Here are the five most prominent organizations named specifically by the Muslim Brotherhood as like-minded groups of “friends” in the mission to re-establish a global Islamic caliphate.

#### #5 — Muslim Students Association

The first organization created by the Muslim Brotherhood was the Muslim Students Association [MSA].

As the Muslim Brothers “settled” in North America, they did so according to their stated bylaws. At the University of Illinois in Urbana, the Ikhwan created its first front organization in North America, the Muslim Students Association (MSA) in 1963. Today, MSA chapters are present on many college campuses across the country, serving as recruiting nodes for the MB and, in some cases for violent jihadist organizations [...]

[...] [O]ut of the MSA came nearly every Muslim organization in America today. Initially, as MSA chapters sprang up on American campuses, they presented Islam in public as an acceptable alternative to other religions, never mentioning its revolutionary aspects. In recent years, MSA members have become ever more aggressive in their demands for accommodations and silencing those who oppose them. [Team B II Report]

We have seen the MSA’s Jew-hatred and silencing tactics on full display in their treatment of Israeli Ambassador Michael Oren at UC-Irvine and support for a second Holocaust at UC-San Diego. Abdurrahman Alamoudi, who was arrested in 2003 for terrorist fundraising, is a former President of MSA. Alamoudi, a financier of Al Qaeda, is a compatriot of conservative infiltrator Suhail Khan. You may remember Alamoudi also for his role in starting the Roxbury Mosque. Other former members include Anwar al-Awlaki, the U.S. government’s most-wanted terrorist; Aafia Siddiqui, arrested for attempting to kill U.S. officers in Afghanistan; Ali Asad Chandia, arrested for conspiring with the Kashmiri terrorist group Lashkar-i-Taiba; and Wa’el Hamza Julaidan, founder of Al Qaeda.

The Muslim Student Association pledge of allegiance is in sync with the credo of the Muslim Brotherhood. The

MSA claims 150 groups in 25 cities in America. Despite these horrifying truths, common ground with the Left in an anti-Israel agenda clearly helps to ensure the extremist MSA’s continued status as a “mainstream” organization in America by many universities, giving them safe harbor for years.

#### #4 — Islamic Society of North America (ISNA)

Born from the ranks of the Muslim Students Association, the Islamic Society of North America [ISNA] is the largest organization of Muslims on the continent and, as such, surely counts as a key partner with the Muslim Brotherhood in engaging in civilization jihad. ISNA has admitted to their ties to the Muslim Brotherhood and also to Hamas, which is responsible for terrorist attacks on Israel. It was through ISNA that the Muslim Brotherhood was able to build hundreds of mosques in America with Saudi funding, and it is ISNA which has focused on providing extremist Wahhabi teaching materials to these mosques. Wahhabism, according to former CIA Director James Woolsey, is “the soil in which al Qaeda and its sister terrorist organizations are growing.” Though this is clearly the case, ISNA President Ingrid Mattson characterizes Wahhabism as being “analogous to the European Protestant Reformation” and has made the clearly ludicrous claim that “right-wing Christians are very risky allies for American Jews, because they [the Christians] are really anti-Semitic. They do not like Jews.”

Despite the threat to America’s security that ISNA poses, and despite the fact that the lies of Ingrid Mattson are so easily researched, ISNA and Mattson are considered allies by many in positions of power, including President Barack Obama and some American Catholic bishops. This is how subversion works. The fact that she is female may give some credibility in the eyes of some, but as the Team B II Report on the Threat of Shariah explains, Mattson is really no more than a pawn.

Even a cursory examination, however, of the views of the current ISNA president, Ingrid Mattson, and former MSA President Hadia Mubarak reveals their philosophies are right in line with Muslim Brotherhood doctrine. And, in both organizations, the male leadership within the Brotherhood continues to make operational decisions, despite the title conferred upon such women.

Perhaps it’s not so difficult to understand how the president, who was a Muslim in his youth and spent his formative years steeped in Marxism, lends support for radical Islamists like Ingrid Mattson, but one would not

expect Catholic bishops to join with her in pulling the wool over the eyes of their flock.

### #3 — Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR)

The Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) is the main Muslim Brotherhood front group for propaganda. CAIR is featured in the Team B II Report as an organization that engages in “dual messaging” in the advancement of “stealth jihad.”

A particularly telling indication of the stealth jihad agenda comes from Omar Ahmad, one of the founders of the Brotherhood’s Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR) and an unindicted co-conspirator in the Holy Land Foundation trial for funding international terrorism from the United States.

Ahmad made a reference to the MB’s dual-messaging, a form of esoteric communication in which words seem innocuous to the uninitiated, but which have definite meaning to those duly indoctrinated: “I believe that our problem is that we stopped working underground. We will recognize the source of any message which comes out of us. I mean, if a message is publicized, we will know... the media person among us will recognize that you send two messages: one to the Americans and one to the Muslims.”

Note the Muslim Brotherhood operative’s differentiation between “Americans” and “Muslims,” as if presuming that Muslims are not or should not be good Americans. This differentiation is clear in CAIR’s own name. In short, it is the enemy among us, working out in the open but disguised by deceit, that poses the greater long-term threat to our legal system and way of life.

This “dual message information campaign against America” stems from Islamic teaching on “Taqiyya”.

It is permissible for a Muslim to lie, especially to non-Muslims, to safeguard himself personally or to protect Islam.

So it is that CAIR can tell blatant lies, even lies designed to impede protection of Americans from terrorism and remain within the standards of “morality” within Islam. Anyone paying even cursory attention should have no doubts about the ultimate goal of CAIR.

In 1998 Omar Ahmad, CAIR’s co-founder and longtime Board Chairman, said: “Islam isn’t in America to be equal to any other faith, but

to become dominant. The Koran should be the highest authority in America, and Islam the only accepted religion on Earth.”

Imagine what the *New York Times* would say if the head of the USCCB expressed similar sentiments about the Bible and Catholicism. It should be noted that the mother of American Conservative Union board director Suhail Khan sits on the board of CAIR.

### #2—Fiqh Council of North America

The Fiqh Council of North America [FCNA] is the Muslim Brotherhood’s board of Islamic interpretation for the continent. It might loosely be called the Muslim version of the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops. An off-shoot of ISNA, its eighteen members interpret the teachings of Islam for Muslims in America and answer questions about Islam for the general community. An example of this is their report that Muslims are forbidden by Islamic law from going through full body scanners at airports. Apparently we have to spare Muslim feelings. The answers provided by FCNA to questions cannot be trusted for accuracy, however. A prime example of this is that the signers of their oft-praised “Fatwa Against Terrorism” was Fawaz Abu Damra, who once led the mosque attended by the 1993 World Trade Center bombers. An FBI transcript reveals Damra’s true feelings about Jihad and the Jews.

“Donate to the Islamic Jihad! Nidal Zaloum from the Islamic Jihad held a dagger and stabbed four of the Jews in the courtyard of Al-Haram Al-Qudsi. . . . For the Intifadah, for the Islamic Jihad, I say it frankly for the Islamic Jihad. The Jihad is still erupting in Palestine from village to village. I tell you it is not for the organizations with respect to everyone but for the Jihad. The Jihad! One of them would leave his house with a knife to stab the Jews—twelve Jews after the events of the Gulf War. Brothers, the Intifadah calls you. Five hundred dollars! Who would add to five hundred dollars? Who would add to five hundred dollars? If you write a check, write it for the Islamic Committee for Palestine . . . [Muslims should be] directing all the rifles at the first and last enemy of the Islamic nation and that is the sons of monkeys and pigs, the Jews.”—Fawaz Abu Damra

The Executive Director of FCNA is Zulfiqar Ali Shah whose hatred of Jews has been made publicly clear. Shah stated at one Chicago gathering of Muslims, “If we are unable to stop the Jews

now, their next stop is Yathrib [the holy city of Medina], where the Jews used to live until their expulsion by Prophet Muhammad.

That's the pinnacle of their motives."

To be sure, my jaw frequently drops open as I write these details and consider the depth of Islamic extremism and anti-Semitism in America, . . . but alas, the list goes on.

#### #1—Islamic Circle of North America

The Islamic Circle of North America [ICNA] which is made up mostly of Pakistani and Indian Muslims, like many other groups named as Muslim Brotherhood front groups, is an off-shoot of the Muslim Students Association. Terrorism expert Yehudit Barsky has identified members of the Pakistani terrorist group Jamaat-e-Islami operating within ICNA. My most recent article here at NRB discussed ICNA's proposal for a children's camp in New York in which non-Muslim children will be invited to participate. Like other Muslim Brotherhood front groups, ICNA's hatred of Jews is manifest.

Terrorism analyst Steven Emerson claims that ICNA has close ties to the Muslim Brotherhood, the ideological forebear of all radical Islamic movements—including Hamas and al-Qaeda. Documents show that Hamas officials have participated in previous ICNA events. "The ICNA's hatred of the Jews is so fierce," writes Emerson, "that it taunted them with a repetition of what Hitler did to them." In his book *American Jihad*, Emerson expounds: "The ICNA openly supports militant Islamic fundamentalist organizations, praises terror attacks, issues incendiary attacks on western values and policies, and supports the imposition of Sharia [Islamic law]."

At CPAC, David Horowitz clearly laid out the perils facing America today.

The Muslim Brotherhood, which is the fountainhead of political Islam and has spawned 12 terrorist armies including al-Qaeda and Hamas is a political force in Egypt that is also willing to participate in elections and in the civil institutions of society. The Holy Land Foundation, a creation of the Muslim Brotherhood was the largest Islamic charity in America until it was raided by the FBI and put on trial in Texas for funding Hamas. One of the documents seized in a concealed basement at the Foundation headquarters and put into evidence by the FBI was the Muslim

Brotherhood's plan for America. The stated goal of this plan was to "destroy the American civilization."

The plan called for building a secret leadership in America and for the creation of a series of Brotherhood front groups that would appear to be participants in America's democracy until the time came when and where force would be necessary to accomplish the Brotherhood's goals.

Mr. Horowitz explained how this threat even exists within the conservative movement, and that the solution for this problem is education, continued awareness, and for everyone, particularly conservatives, "to be vigilant against its spread into the ranks of the conservative movement and the government of the country they love."

I, for one, am honored to join Mr. Horowitz in this effort.

—NewRealBlog, February 20, 2011

---

## You Can Still Trust the Communists . . . to by Communists (Socialists and Progressives, too)—A Review

by Mark Lewis

Dr. Fred C. Schwarz' classic work could almost be called the anti-Communist Manifesto. Not only is it a book written in opposition to communism, but it is also an antidote to the toxic progress of communism in our world. Thus, Dr. Schwarz takes his own advice and does not merely line up the pros and cons of capitalism and juxtapose them with the pros and cons of communism, and then ask the reader to make an informed decision between the two. Rather, he treats communism like a serious disease, tuberculosis or cancer, that must be rejected and fought against with everything the individual can muster. Because the book is so comprehensive in clarifying all that communism is, it is a purifying and cleansing work for the mind and soul of the reader whereby the nefarious strategies of communism are exposed and condemned.

Like other recovering liberals ("pseudo liberal" is the term used by Dr. Schwarz), it seemed to me that the collapse of the USSR in 1991 was a miraculous answer to prayer, and that communism as a threat to America and our way

of life was a thing of the past. Communism would now, I assumed, be relegated to “backward” countries like Vietnam, the People’s Republic of China, Cuba, North Korea, and perhaps a couple of diehard countries in South America. I naively assumed that even the left-leaning Americans would realize they had backed the wrong horse. However, I began reading a number of books and articles that helped me understand that a communist fifth column has been operating in this country for a long time, and it is so entrenched, in fact, that it is not going gently into “the denying light.” I read John Stormer’s book *None Dare Call It Treason*, Jonah Goldberg’s *Liberal Fascism*, Herbert Klehr’s amazing book *The Secret World of American Communism*, and *The Black Book of Communism* put out by a team of French scholars. Gradually, I began to realize the grip socialist and communist thinking had on the minds of people throughout the world and among European and American intellectuals in particular. I began to understand that fascism was not in opposition to the totalitarian ideology of communism, but had more similarities than differences. Likewise “progressivism” (beginning with Woodrow Wilson) was moving along the communist agenda that had been openly stated by various communist luminaries from Vladimir Lenin, through Joseph Stalin, and William Z. Foster.

Dr. Schwarz’ amazing work, including a preface and additional chapters by Dr. David Noebel, reveals the widespread attempt to destroy America and take over our institutions. He provides the reader with a very useful structure for distinguishing between communists, fellow-travelers, sympathizers, pseudo-liberals, and finally “useful idiots” (people who, despite patriotic and good intentions, are taken in by the ministrations, conceits, and deceptions of the aforementioned groups). Thus, one does not have to be a full-fledged, card-carrying Communist Party USA member to be advancing the cause of communism. One can be “anti-communist” yet, out of a supposed willingness to “see the good in every point of view,” become an unwitting accomplice to advancing the communist goals. Prior to becoming a born again Christian and undergoing a re-education process during the past 2+ years, I would consider myself to have fallen somewhere between the “pseudo-liberal” and “useful idiot” categories, perpetually underestimating the threat of communism, and unwilling

to recognize the presence of communist ideology in “innocent” [sic] forms of accommodation with the left.

My own blindness was brought home to me during my past 20 years in the New York Public Schools, where I am a high school social studies teacher. In 1997, I transferred to one of the top public high schools in New York. (NYC is like a socialist country within the USA.) During my first week in the school, one of the teachers said to me, “Communism may be over in the Soviet Union, but it’s alive and well in this high school.” By my third week, I realized that I was in the midst of one of the communist epicenters of education. Although not all the teachers were communists, many were.

At a meeting early in that first term to discuss our plans for teaching US history, the other teachers were enthusiastically saying how they were looking forward to using photocopied excerpts from Howard Zinn’s book *A People’s History of the USA*. I demurred and said that Prof. Zinn was a communist, and that I was not just pinning a label on him but that he proudly announced himself as one while picketing the Vietnam War when I was a graduate student at Harvard. My colleagues jumped on me. “What do you know anyhow?” one asked. “Have you ever published anything?” I replied that I had, and that scholarship required a balanced approach. We owed it to our students, I told them, not to use the classroom as a bully pulpit for any “ism.”

At one point, one of the communist teachers was teaching an Advanced Placement class, and I was told that the students had complained about him to the Assistant Principal because he had spent an entire month just studying *The Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx and Frederick Engels. Of course, nothing was done because it was already known that he and a few other teachers were using the classroom as a bully pulpit for their leftist views. Even those who were not outright communists were either too sympathetic with their views to report them, or there was a legitimate fear that there might be reprisals against any teachers who openly objected.

Even in my previous high school, where there were fewer actively outspoken leftists, the only song being sung in assemblies was “The Black National Anthem” (“Lift Up Your Voice and Sing”). Only after I complained at a faculty meeting that it was wrong for this song to be sung

### The Schwarz Report Bookshelf

To see a complete list of books recommended by the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade please check out our website at [www.schwarzreport.org](http://www.schwarzreport.org). This site also has back issues of *The Schwarz Report* as well as other great resources.

and not the “Star Spangled Banner” was there a change, and both were sung at future events. Once I transferred out of the school, I do not know if the practice of singing the “Star Spangled Banner” was continued. I do know that in my 20+ years in New York’s high schools I have never once heard the singing of “My Country Tis of Thee,” “God Bless America,” or “America the Beautiful.” This omission, I believe, speaks volumes about the political orientation of the entire school system.

In my present high school, the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was not recited from 1966 (during the demonstrations about the Vietnam War) until after the 9/11 attacks, when it was mandated. It is said every morning over the public address system, but only a very few students stand for its recitation. Students are not required to stand, but they can be told not to speak to each other and not to turn their chairs around during the Pledge. At other high schools, even though the Pledge was mandated after 9/11, it is not said. It is still recited in many junior high and elementary schools in New York.

Dr. Schwarz has a very illuminating chapter on brainwashing. This topic received more attention years ago during the Korean War because brainwashing techniques were being used on POW’s who were captured by the North Koreans or Chinese. Later, a successful film, “The Manchurian Candidate,” also aroused public interest, and there was a lot of debate about what were the limits of “brainwashing” and hypnosis on human beings. He describes the use of exhaustion, confusion, chronic physical pain, fear, and indoctrination as the markers on the path towards successful brainwashing. The important point is that brainwashing is not intended to get someone to confess to something he knows is untrue, but “to reduce him [the one being brainwashed] to a state where he believes the untruth to be truth.” (p. 167)

The reader will inevitably want to tie in the insights and information of the chapter on brainwashing with an earlier chapter on the recruiting of communists. In that earlier chapter, Dr. Schwarz indicates various aspects of personality that might fit in very nicely with the communist agenda. Thus if one is taught materialism in one’s college or university courses, say, in behavioral psychology, with elaborate diagrams of negative and positive reinforcement, and accepts this methodology as defining the nature of man (as a person without a soul, without a

spirit, without free will or free agency, i.e., man as a series of conditioned and unconditioned reflexes), then, even if one considers himself or herself “anti-communist,” one has already made an accommodation to the materialist underpinning of communism. One has thus acquiesced to an environment of suggestibility where materialist communist philosophy seems more plausible. In other words, it behooves everyone to think about one’s views or beliefs in every area of experience to see if those views and beliefs tend in some way (a kind of unconscious tropism) towards communism. This is why it is so important to consider the worldviews of Christianity and of the anti-Christians. Worldview is a term that encompasses more than theological doctrine. Of course, this is not to reject the centrality of doctrine in our Christian walk.

In the earlier chapters on recruiting, Dr. Schwarz also writes about intellectual pride and unfulfilled religious needs, mainly of seeking students or adults. (pp. 50-52) Can’t these be tied in with the material on brainwashing? Are not the professors at so many of our formerly great universities indoctrinating the students? Are they not capitalizing on the students’ fears of getting low grades or not getting a good job or getting into good graduate schools? Are they not letting loose a barrage of questions, some innocent, and some misleading, to convince them by their own reasoning [sic], to reject non-materialist, non-dialectic thinking, and above all to reject the founding truths of America and of the evangelical Christian religion as well as the morality associated with those? Is not confusion and physical exhaustion typical of the college student’s life? The only element missing from the brainwashing agenda is the actual physical torture. However, as repeatedly stated by Dr. Schwarz, and as I have tried to indicate with my own high school teaching experience, the indoctrination and the anti-American and pro-left leaning do not have to be 100% successful to be making tremendous inroads on the worldviews of the people. We are not yet at the point of 100% control for the forces of totalitarianism, but the agenda of the left is being advanced at a faster pace than many would like to admit.

Dr. Schwarz’ main point is that the individual can make a difference. You and I can do something to stand up for truth and for America’s founding principles. Our challenge is to find out what it is, and do it.

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009), has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and Dr. Michael Bauman. The Crusade’s address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is (719) 685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (the Crusade is a 501(c)3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. Permission to reproduce materials from this *Report* is granted provided our name and address are given.

# Disney's War on Children

by Bill Muehlenberg

The makers and promoters of popular culture have a lot to answer for. Hollywood in particular, and the entertainment industry in general, are keen to get all the disposable income which young people have in abundance, and they don't really give a rip about any moral considerations.

Whole books have been written on this topic, so it is nothing new. But each new example of this still can send shivers down one's spine. Here are two brand new cases that should be noted. They both make for scary, even sickening, reading, but we must be aware of what is happening around us, and how our children are being targeted.

The first concerns a mega-entertainment industry which for years was known for its family-friendly offerings. Sadly, however, Disney has moved a long way from there as of late. It has for years now featured Gay Days at its theme parks, and now we are being told there will soon be homosexual characters in its movies.

This is how one media report carries the story: "Cinderella with two step-mothers? Bambi with two dads? According to one of Disney's veteran animators, the idea isn't as far-fetched as you might think. Andreas Deja, who is gay and has worked on *Beauty and the Beast*, *Aladdin*, and *The Lion King*, said Disney was open to the idea of making a family movie featuring gay characters.

"'Is there ever going to be a family that has two dads or two mums? Time will tell,' he said. 'I think once they (Disney chiefs) find the right kind of story with that kind of concept, they will do it. It has to be the right kind of story and you have to find that first.' Despite having a worldwide reputation for being staid and conservative, Disney is, surprisingly, a very progressive company."

It certainly is progressive alright. Most Western corporations and businesses these days have bent over backwards to accommodate and promote this minority activist group. Disney is just one of many implementing a radical homosexual agenda, which of necessity also means waging war against faith and family values.

The second story is not much better. It too involves a direct assault on our children and our values. It has to do with video games, and how they are increasingly being pitched to kids while full of decidedly adult-only content. Brent Bozell, who heads up the Media Research Center, explains: "Most parents think of video games as a child's pursuit, especially the innocent ones. Many people who bought a Nintendo Wii video game system would consider

this the most innocent of them all. They watch their children play 'Super Mario Bros.' on it, or join the family in playing tennis, golf, or baseball with their little childlike 'Mii' characters on Wii Sports.

"I never imagined this game system would also be an orgy enabler. A new ad by the French game manufacturer Ubisoft advertises a new game for the Nintendo Wii suggestively titled 'We Dare,' describing it as 'a sexy, quirky party game that offers . . . hilarious, innovative, and physical, sometimes kinky, challenges. The more friends you invite to party, the spicier the play!'

"Here we go. In a YouTube ad for the game, two young couples are shown kissing the controller together, including both girls. Graphically, the game looks simplistic and cartoonish, a typical 'Super Mario' adventure. As suggestive music plays, one of the girls puts the controller in the back of her skirt and goes over one of the men's knees for some simulated spanking time. Then the girls are spanking each other. Then the men are stripping. The ad ends with the screen going blurry and reading 'Enter Parental Code.'

"That's merely an invitation to join in nudity and sex, since Ubisoft isn't really concerned about parental codes. The game just went on sale in Australia, and that country's silly supposed self-regulators gave it a meaningless PG rating. The local ad there included couples 'stripping to their underwear' with 'suggestions of pole dancing, group sex, and partner swapping'."

He concludes with these words: "Everyone understands that every new technology will be pornified, if someone can make an Almighty Dollar from it. Someone in Austria can make brown-paper-bag video games for Charlie Sheen and his ilk.

"But if most video games are going to be made and marketed for children, major game makers and their weak-kneed self-regulating boards should draw lines of propriety, and major retailers should lean on the Entertainment Software Rating Board to know those lines should be drawn strongly—not out of respect for parents with purchasing power, but for the children whose innocence demands protection."

Exactly right. But most of these outfits don't give a hoot about children or the community. And far too many politicians and regulatory bodies are allowing these guys to get away with murder. It is now time to say enough is enough.

A war has been declared against our children. And at the moment we appear to be losing big time. Parents especially need to be aware of what their children are being exposed to in popular culture, whether it is films, or DVDs, or music videos, or games, or clothing, or anything else.

—*Culture Watch*, March 6, 2011