



Dr. Fred Schwarz

# The Schwarz Report

Volume 52, Number 1



Dr. David Noebel

January 2012

## The Lavender Revolution Stumble

by David A. Noebel

As the Penn State University child sex-abuse scandal grows, the *Wall Street Journal* addressed the ethical implications, albeit in a somewhat backhanded way: “It’s also something of a relief that in a culture as libertine as ours at least some behavior—sexual exploitation of children—is still considered deviant” (Nov. 10, 2011, A-20).

Could it be that there is still an ethical absolute? Wouldn’t it be ironic to witness the demise of moral relativism at the hands of liberalism’s most cherished activity—homosexuality? Yes, Virginia, there is truth after all. It is always ethically wrong for a grown man to violate a 10-year-old boy.

The scandal centers on Jerry Sandusky, a former Penn State assistant football coach, whose pedophilic acts involved boys (8 at present, but the count is rising) as young as 10. His sexual abuse of these boys is not fit family reading material, making it difficult to fully comprehend the seriousness of the case. Indeed, the subject of homosexual activity is likewise difficult to discuss in public. Neither practice provides table talk material. Both are deviant, degenerate, and disgusting.

Homosexuality in America is now out of the closet, front and center (and has been for some time). Now homosexual man/boy activity (pederasty) is under the microscope, its normality, genetic implications, and social acceptance being examined.

From what we are witnessing at Penn State and the firing of its president, Dr. Graham Spanier, and beloved head football coach, Joe Paterno, it appears that the public in general and the university trustees in particular are voting against man/boy activity—the same kind of activity being promoted in our society within NAMBLA (North American Man/Boy Love Association) and the American Psychiatric Association (APA).

In other words, our libertine culture is in the process of making pederasty as acceptable as the lavender revolution itself.

There are no doubt courses and campus groups at PSU that would like to make pederasty seem as wholesome as apple pie! We might begin by checking out PSU’s psychology and psychiatry departments’ courses as well as its sociology and anthropology departments’ offerings!

Judith Reisman, Visiting Professor of Law at Liberty University School of Law, attended a pro-pedophilia conference this past August in Baltimore called the “B4U-ACT” conference. Its purpose was to persuade the American Psychiatric Association to “redefine pedophilia as a normal sexual orientation of ‘Minor-Attracted Persons.’”

Involved in the conference were mental health professionals from Harvard and Johns Hopkins who would like to convince us that pedophilia is actually normal. Indeed, Dr. Fred Berlin of the Johns Hopkins Sexual Disorder Clinic was the keynote speaker.

Michael Brown, author of *A Queer Thing Happened to America*, wrote an article for Townhall.com entitled “Why Are We Surprised with the Push for ‘Pedophile Rights’?” in which he states, “Academic articles in scholarly journals have been presenting pedophilia in a sympathetic light for years, and, as Matthew Cullinan Hoffman noted, the American Psychiatric Association (APA) released a report in 1998 ‘claiming that the “negative potential” of adult sex with children was “overstated” and that “the vast majority of both men and women reported no negative sexual effects from their child sexual abuse experiences.” It even claimed that large numbers of the victims reported that their experiences were “positive” and suggested that the phrase “child sex abuse” be replaced with “adult-child sex.” Others have coined the more disgusting term “intergenerational intimacy.”

We can bet that Jerry Sandusky’s lawyers will have such scholarly material front and center when his case goes to trial, including claims such as the following: “There is no known method of treatment by which they [the pedophile] may

be effectively and permanently altered, suppressed, or replaced. Punishment is useless. There is no satisfactory hypothesis, evolutionary or otherwise, as to why they exist in nature's overall scheme of things. One must simply accept the fact that they do exist, and then, with optimum enlightenment, formulate a policy of what to do about it."

The preceding quotation is from Johns Hopkins professor Dr. John Money, now deceased, which will reduce by one a defense witness for Sandusky! But there are many others available, including Dr. Richard Green, who Brown points out was "instrumental in removing homosexuality from the APA's list of mental disorders in 1973, and is now fighting to remove pedophilia as well."

According to Brown, the very same arguments that were used to make homosexuality acceptable are being used to make pedophilia acceptable. These include: a) insisting that such behavior is genetically determined and is not a choice and hence to suggest that it is wrong is intolerant and hateful; b) pedophilia is a sexual orientation, innate and immutable; c) pederasty is richly attested to in many different cultures throughout history; d) many of the illustrious homosexuals of the past were actually pedophiles; e) people who are against "intergenerational intimacy" have antiquated social standards and puritanical sexual phobias; f) this is all about love, equality, and liberation!

But there is an important point to this story that seems to have evaded the talking heads, pundits, and mainstream media.

It was not, however, overlooked in a student online publication the *American Conservative Daily*. In a November 11, 2011 article, "The Trials of PSU," Gene Lalor makes the following statement: "When the US Secretary of Education Arne Duncan weighed in to indicate he was 'extremely angry' over the PSU allegations, the same Duncan who wasn't perturbed at all over inclusion of confessed homosexual child sex facilitator Kevin Jennings in the Obama administration, the fix was in: Bring down Penn State."

And we must not forget that the President of the United States, Barack Obama, via Arne Duncan, appointed Kevin "queering elementary education" Jennings on May 19, 2009, as Assistant Deputy Secretary for the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, praising him as being "uniquely qualified for his job."

However, on November 12, 2011, Obama announced in reference to the Penn State scandal that "our first priority is protecting our kids."

Not only is there a scandal at Penn State, but there is

a scandal in the White House and the Secretary of Education's office as well. Only morally deficient individuals would allow Kevin Jennings within a million miles of children!

Jennings wrote the forward to William J. Letts IV and James T. Sears' *Queering Elementary Education: Advancing the Dialogue about Sexualities and Schooling*. The book is about exposing elementary school children to the homosexual lifestyle and was endorsed by Professor Peter McLaren, University of California-Los Angeles, who wrote, "This volume marks the beginning of the queering of critical pedagogy and is long overdue."

The book contains chapters titled "Teaching Queerly: Some Elementary Propositions," "Why Discuss Sexuality in Elementary School?" "It's Okay to be Gay: Interrupting Straight Thinking in the English Classroom," and "When Queer and Teacher Meet." These are just a sampling.

The President of the United States finds nothing unacceptable in this kind of education, nor do his friends at the NEA. The NEA has established the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual & Transgender Caucus along with the NEA Drag Queen Caucus to queer elementary school children who certainly aren't old enough to know they are being manipulated by professional adults who supposedly have their best interests in mind.

In 2003, the former president of the NEA, Bob Chase, gave a glowing endorsement of Gay, Lesbian, and Straight Education Network (GLSEN) (founded by Kevin Jennings) and its elementary training material. He said, "Schools cannot be neutral when dealing with issues of human dignity and human rights. I'm not talking about tolerance, I'm talking about acceptance."

History lessons are even now being rewritten to acknowledge known homosexuals as heroes, not just for their achievements, but also for their lifestyle. Many of these new heroes are also on the NAMBLA list as practicing pedophiles.

According to NAMBLA, "From famous couples such as Oscar Wilde and Lord Alfred Douglas, to cultural institutions such as that of ancient Greek pederasty, to cultural concepts such as China's passion of the cut sleeve, to iconic figures such as Francis Bacon and Walt Whitman . . . man/boy love spans every dimension of history, both Western and non-Western."

So the President of the United States and the Secretary of Education may decry the scandal at Penn State, but they themselves are as guilty as Jerry Sandusky! Sandusky may have violated a dozen or two or even hundreds of young boys, but the Obama/Duncan/Jennings trio will violate

millions of innocent children for decades to come.

The president of Penn State was fired for allowing his Jerry Sandusky to get away with molesting the innocent; the President of the United States deserves the same treatment for allowing his Kevin Jennings and Department of Education to do the same to America's innocent elementary school children!

---

## Big Government vs. Big Business

by Dr. Michael Bauman

From some quarters, you hear a lot about the evils of big business. You hear a lot about the evils of big government from others. While the two sides might not sound like it, they're complaining about the same thing: human nature. In their myopia, the two competing sides focus merely on different manifestations of the same problem, not on different problems.

That's why it's hopelessly naïve to think that giving more power to government to control big business can work. If big business requires oversight, regulation, and restraint, so does big government, and for the same reason: Human nature cannot be trusted and everyone has it, whether they work for big business, big government, both, or neither.

We all are what C. S. Lewis called "the sons of Adam and the daughters of Eve," which means we come from a long line of inveterate sinners. It's a deep-seated moral defect we pick up from our parents and pass on to our children. Look in the mirror, friend, and see what's wrong with big business and big government.

We all are morally debilitated, and the worse we are the less we know it. We all are driven by fallen appetites. Those appetites are wickedly self-seeking and predictably self-aggrandizing. While we might be capable of reason, because of our wayward appetites and our natural acquisitiveness, we are rarely ever reasonable. No, you are not an exception.

Human nature doesn't magically transform into something virtuous when it gains financial or political power. Neither financial success nor elective office turns us into saints. We are still ourselves. We can't be trusted to do what's right just because we won the game of business or the game of government. Rather, winning those games gives our sin freer reign to acquire its wicked desires.

Winning neither creates nor cures those desires. Greed, for example, is not a motivation merely for those in the marketplace; it's also a motivation for those in government, who lust after power, fame, sex, and, of course, money. Nevertheless, the champions of the free market and the champions of big government continue to see their side as the solution rather than seeing the common human nature lurking behind both sides as the problem.

Human nature is a fallen force, a force that requires constraint by another force. But if the force you employ to check human nature is human nature as well, you have not solved the problem. You merely transferred it. You press down on the pillow in one place, business, and it bulges up in another, government—because it's the same pillow.

But, sadly, it's our only option: a fallen force fighting itself. We have but one pillow, one nature. Therefore, the solution, if that word is not too wildly optimistic, is always going to be imperfect. But it's the best we can do. We can't succeed by backing one team or the other; we must cheer both, which means that no matter what happens, we win and we lose.

First, cheer for this: Cheer for greater competition in the marketplace, which is always the consumer's best friend. Competition leads to better products, better service, better selection, and better prices because those who don't compete go out of business. They must compete for your dollars or fail.

In other words, human nature in government can best check human nature in the marketplace not by regulation but by maintaining a free and open entry into the market and, with it, greater competition. Government's task is to keep open the doors of marketplace entry, and to keep shut the doors of fraud, deceit, and theft. Government's task is not to pick winners and losers, and not to bail out some or to relegate others to financial oblivion. The human nature at work in government does best to check the human nature in the marketplace (1) by keeping open the entry gates that existing businesses want closed, (2) by thwarting crooks, and (3) by maintaining sound currency—none of which is the same as either ganging up on the winners or taking sides with them.

Second, cheer also for this: Cheer for a government that is not a marketplace commodity, paid for by the highest bidder. Ironically, perhaps, that's done best by letting money flow freely. Just as we check the excesses of human nature in the market by keeping the doors of entry open, we check the excesses of human nature in government by keeping the doors of political donation open for all contributors to all candidates. Because it is literally im-

possible to regulate and to calculate all political donations effectively, and because trying to do so will serve only to discourage the honest donor and reward the insidious, the very best we can do is to open wide the doors of political contribution and to work for the fullest possible disclosure of those contributions. Let folks give whatever they wish to whomever they wish. Just let them do it in daylight, which, as we know, is a disinfectant.

Daylight lets you see. You can see what others are doing. They can see you. When everyone knows what everyone else is doing, then we all can act in ways we perceive to be the best possible, always under the scrutiny of others. For example, when Acme Corporation sees that Bravo Corporation gave X dollars to candidate Smith, Acme can respond accordingly by giving money to candidate Smith too, or by giving money to candidate Jones, whichever serves Acme's purposes best. Either way, the intended effect of Bravo's money is softened and shrunk. Other money has done it.

Human nature sees to it that competing corporations always work for their own advantage by trying to shape the pillow to their liking, generally intending to set at naught the efforts of their competitors, who do the same. In the full light of day, both sides see that they are investing mountains of money in order to fund an ongoing standoff. They might not want to do so, but they must, which is good because it keeps the playing field as even as can be managed. They will do so because they are human beings, and human beings are self-seekers. They will find a way to invest their money to fullest effect. They don't regulate themselves. Human nature can't do that. They offset themselves. Given who we human beings are, both in government and in business, it's the best we can do.

In short, freedom of entry and competition are your friends not only in the marketplace but in the public square too. Keep it free; keep it open. Let everybody press on the pillow.

But let's say you still want to regulate political donations. Then think of this: If you wish to limit or even to ban corporate donations, remember that corporations are comprised of human beings, sometimes very many of them, and all of them like you. Like you, none of the human beings who run the corporations, or the millions who own stock in them, ought to be deprived of their right to political speech and political action, which is what donating is. Just like we must not try to regulate the number of words, statements, or actions you invest in political causes, we must not regulate the number of dollars you invest, which are political speech and action too.

If you think that politicians will effectively work to block donations to politicians, you aren't paying attention. Human nature dictates that the selfishness rampant in government will never ban corporate donations to government. It cannot be done. Even if it could, it would not be fair or good.

Put it this way: You need as little government control in the market, and as little market control in the government, as possible. Domination of either business by government or of government by business is not how you get it. You get it by freedom. You get it by letting folks pursue their own best interest in response to other folks doing the same thing in the full light of day.

Human nature won't let you get any more delicate or precise than that.

---

## “Change” and Marxism

by Ion Mihai Pacepa

Occupy Wall Street is an un-American movement generated by an un-American depiction of our country. In 2008, the leaders of the Democratic Party painted the United States as a “decaying, racist, capitalist realm,” unable to provide medical care for the poor, to rebuild her “crumbling schools,” or to replace the “shuttered mills that once provided a decent life for men and women of every race.”

A young generation of Americans, who had never been taught real history in school, became galvanized by the Democratic Party's pledge to “change” that rotting America. Some eighty thousand of them gathered in front of the now famous pseudo-Greek temple resembling the White House that had been erected in Denver, shouting for “hope and change.”

Of course, people everywhere want their political leaders to be better than their predecessors. But “change” is also the quintessence of Marxism, which is built on the dialectical materialist tenet that quantitative changes generate qualitative transformations.

In my other life, when I was national security adviser to Communist Romania's President Nicolae Ceausescu, I wrote the lyrics of his ode to “change.” Ceausescu pretended that his predecessor had devastated the country, and he pledged to change that change. In those days I heard that ode to change a thousand times, and today I am stunned by its similarity with the Democratic Party's “change.”

“Change” is now the slogan of the Occupy Wall Street movement as well. Capitalism is evil, and it should be

changed. “Rediscover other ways of relating to each other and the world around us.” “Tax the rich! Redistribute their wealth.” “Occupy. Block. Strike. Take over!” “Change! Cuba is the future.” “Long live Che!” These are just a few of the slogans and signs dominating the Occupy Wall Street encampments.

Today it is not politically correct even to whisper the word “Marxism.” Nevertheless, there is a real and organic connection between Marxism, the Democratic Party’s “Change,” and the Occupy Wall Street movement’s calls for abolishing (American) capitalism. In his manifesto, Marx portrays “America” as a “racist capitalist country” that generated “despotism and exploitation,” and he urges his followers to “eradicate [American] capitalism” by wresting “by degrees, all capital from the bourgeoisie.” Marx advocates ten “despotic inroads on the rights of property,” which became known as the Ten Planks of Communism. The first is the “abolition of property.” The next two are a “heavy progressive or graduated income tax,” and the “abolition of all rights of inheritance.”

The United States spent too many years fighting Marxism, and its free population of independent entrepreneurs will never succumb to that heresy. Be that as it may, Marx’s *Manifesto of the Communist Party* has endured to turn 162 years old this year, and the remaining Marxists of the world seem to be clamoring to see their fantasy finally come to pass: the eradication of American capitalism.

On October 6, 2011, in a broadcast in English, the Cuban radio station that calls itself “A Friendly Voice Around the World” announced that the Workers World Party (WWP) had decided to join the Occupy Wall Street demonstrations “against the capitalist system and in favor of a socialist future.” Radio Havana also reported that a WWP conference to be held on October 8 and 9 in the Bronx in New York City would debate, “from a Marxist perspective,” America’s current economic crisis and its “fight against racism and imperialist wars.”

On October 10, people carrying Workers World Party signs calling for the “Destruction of Capitalism” joined the Occupy Wall Street demonstrators. “Behead bankers and the rich who won’t give up wealth,” a demonstrator stated. “Immediate across-the board debt forgiveness for all,” others demand. They also want a guaranteed living wage income regardless of employment, along with a minimum wage of twenty dollars per hour—for now.

The WWP is a Marxist party that was financed by the Soviet KGB during the days when I was at the top of its community. The KGB created the WWP in 1957, with the initial task of helping the Kremlin to generate a favorable impression of the 1956 Soviet invasion of Hungary among the trade unions and the “colored” population of the United States. In 1959, the WWP got its own newspaper, *Workers World*, edited by the KGB’s disinformation department and at one time printed in Romania. To camouflage Moscow’s hand, the early issues showed both Lenin and Trotsky holding up a banner saying, “Colored and White Unite and Fight for a WORKERS WORLD.”

The WWP website now states: “We’re independent Marxists” whose “goal is solidarity of all the workers and oppressed against this criminal imperialist system.”

Currently, the WWP has a national office in New York and 18 regional headquarters across the United States, the addresses of which are posted on the internet. Now the WWP represents itself as a “national Marxist-Leninist party promoting socialism, supporting working class struggles and lesbian/gay/bi/trans liberation, organizing protests, and denouncing racism and sexism.”

On October 11, the Communist Party USA (CPUSA) held a national teleconference to discuss the “Occupy” movements, and also to endorse them: “The movement reflects a new level of class-consciousness.” According to its communiqué, the CPUSA and Young Communist League (YCL) began “working in ‘Occupy Los Angeles.’”

“The bourgeoisie won’t go without violent means,” a CPUSA speaker shouted at an Occupy Los Angeles demonstration. “No, my friend. I’ll give you two examples: French Revolution and Indian so-called Revolution. . . . India, the result of Gandhi, is 600 million people living in maximum poverty. . . . Gandhi today is a tumor that the ruling class is using constantly to mislead us. French Revolution made fundamental revolutions. But it was bloody. . . . Long live Revolution! Long live socialism!”

Marxist President Hugo Chavez of Venezuela, still recovering from surgery, recently addressed the Occupy Wall Street demonstrators via Venezuela state TV. “This movement of popular outrage” is growing because “poverty’s growing, the misery is getting worse,” he said, referring to the causes of the US protests. In response, crowds at the New York Occupy Wall Street encampments erupted in cheers of joy, raising a giant hammer and sickle in sign

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009), has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and Dr. Michael Bauman. The Crusade’s address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is (719) 685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (the Crusade is a 501(c)3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. Permission to reproduce materials from this *Report* is granted provided our name and address are given.

of victory. Iran's supreme leader, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, speaking on state TV, predicted that the Occupy Wall Street movement would ultimately grow "so that it will bring down the capitalist system."

House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi seems to agree. "God bless them," she said about the Occupy Wall Street movement. "It's young, it's spontaneous, it's focused, and it's going to be effective." Representative Barney Frank, minority leader of the House's Financial Services Committee, welcomed "the Wall Street energy," and expressed hope that it would be "translated into political activity." AFL-CIO President Richard Trumka congratulated the Occupy Wall Street protestors in New York, bringing them bagels and water. The Service Employees International Union and the Transport Workers Union joined the Occupy Wall Street demonstrations as well.

Former White House "green jobs" czar, Van Jones, who resigned after it was revealed that he belonged to the US Communist Party, also announced his support for Occupy Wall Street, which he believes is the start of an "American Autumn."

Vice President Biden also endorsed the chaotic Occupy Wall Street demonstrations: "The core is the American people do not think the system is fair." Even the US president stated that the protestors "express the frustration of the American people."

I paid with two death sentences—from my native Romania—for the privilege of becoming a citizen of this unique land of opportunity, and I am incredibly proud to be an American.

On that fateful day of July 28, 1978, when the US military airplane that brought me to freedom landed at Andrews Air Force Base near Washington, D.C., I was exactly three months short of the round age of fifty, and I more than ever regretted that I had kept postponing that step for so many years. The joy of finally becoming part of this magnanimous country was surpassed only by the joy of simply being alive.

On November 9, 1989, when I watched on television as the Berlin Wall was being torn down, my eyes were full of tears. The whole world was expressing its gratitude to the United States for her 45 years of successful efforts to keep freedom, democracy, and law-abiding liberty alive in most of the world.

Is my adoptive country perfect? Of course not. Since 1792, however, elections have been the American way of correcting the past and improving the future. Fortunately, we still have free elections. Last November, an overwhelming majority of Americans expressed their disgust with some of the members of the Congress who wanted

to "change" America, and they voted them out of office.

Let's hope that in November 2012 the voters will restore American exceptionalism. For that to happen, let's also hope that the candidates running for the White House will stop fighting with each other, and will start focusing on how they want to cure the country's current economic and political ills.

—PJ Media, November 4, 2011

---

## Our Sleaze-Soaked Society

by Bill Muehlenberg

All over the Western world sexual crimes are skyrocketing, and all over the Western world we live in a sex-soaked society. Is there a connection? Anyone but a libertarian ideologue would, of course, know there is. You simply cannot pump sex into society at every level on a non-stop basis, and then not get people being heavily influenced by this tsunami of sleaze.

Every day we see more examples of each. The tidal wave of sexual imagery is ubiquitous, and it is impossible to go through life in the West today without being bombarded by this stuff on a daily basis. Holing up in a cave somewhere may be the only way to escape the impact of this toxic culture.

The youngest of children cannot avoid exposure to pornographic and sexually explicit and suggestive images and content. They would have seen more such stuff in their first 18 years than most people in the past would have seen in a lifetime.

And just to make sure that they are well and truly stewed in this material, our colleges are doing a great job in ensuring that any new student will be overwhelmed with it as well. Thus almost all universities today will have some sort of sex week as part of their school calendar. They will give out sex show bags complete with condoms at orientation week, and will cram this stuff down the students' throats for the rest of the academic year.

For example, at Monash Uni[versity] in Melbourne, where I used to work, they always have a "Sex it up week." The entire week is basically one long excuse for sexual debauchery and depravity. Thus they expose the students to prostitutes, strippers, pole dancers, the porn industry, and so on—and you and I, of course, subsidize all this with our tax dollars.

Fortunately not everyone is happy with this. One major uni[versity] in the US has finally put a stop to it. Yale University has actually pulled their sex week. And

this was a revolt led by the students. As one account puts it, “Earlier this year, the group Undergraduates for a Better Yale College launched a student campaign against Sex Week.” They issued this statement:

“Tell Yale that a pornographic culture does not create respect but degrades, does not build up relationships but undermines them, promotes not consent but the ugliest form of pressure, does not stop sexual harassment and the objectification of one another’s bodies but makes us numb, blind, and indifferent to how we actually look at and treat others. Tell Yale that you want a campus marked by respect and love, full of flourishing friendships based on the acknowledgment of each person’s integral value, relationships based on true love between partners—not transient lust—and a sense of familial trust between all students. Tell Yale to say ‘No’ to Sex Week and all it stands for, because Yale can do so much better.”

That is good news indeed, but for every such story, there would be a hundred bad news stories. Popular culture is relentless in its push of pornographic and sleazy content. Just this week the popular prime time show *Glee* pushed the boundaries even further by showing two teenage couples (one straight and one homosexual) losing their virginity on the show.

As one story explains, “Titled ‘The First Time,’ the episode depicted two couples—a young man and woman, Finn and Rachel, and two young men, Kurt and Blaine—each losing their virginity. The development occurs after a fellow student and school play director tells Blaine and Rachel, who are practicing their roles as Tony and Maria for a production of *West Side Story*, that they won’t be believable as lovers onstage until they have sex.”

Brent Bozell rightly notes, “In Hollywood, the only truly serious sexual disease is virginity. It’s a dire and embarrassing condition, desperately in need of elimination. Teenagers that still have ‘it’ are woefully immature. They might as well consider themselves to be walking the school hallways in diapers.”

Indeed, what sort of message is this lousy show sending our young people? They are doing their best to make chaste young people feel like freaks, and are simply adding to the moral meltdown of society. And as if on cue, while the show was airing on Australian prime time television, a Sydney escort agency was offering the virginity of a

teenage girl for \$15,000. One news report puts it this way:

“Brothel Busters has found the escort agency wants \$2000 to be paid as a deposit, with the balance to be paid on receipt of services from the girl, who is claimed to be at Sydney University. Contacted by the *Daily Telegraph* yesterday, a manager at MyOutCall identifying himself as ‘Duncan’ said it was a ‘genuine offer. This is pretty common in Sydney,’ he claimed, adding he had ‘two clients who are very interested’.

“The revelation that a Sydney escort agency is offering for sale a virgin should provide a reality check on the state of morality in our society. But the revelations have horrified Australian Family Association spokesman and research head Tim Cannon, who said it raised the ugly specter of slavery. ‘It is so clearly taking us back to the enslavement of people,’ he said. ‘The damage it does to society and human dignity can’t be overstated’.”

Or as Jim Wallace of the Australian Christian Lobby stated, “Whatever the details of this case in regard to the willingness of the girl, it is clearly the exploitation of someone in need, probably feeling isolated, and clearly mainly for the profit of the agency which it has been said might make up to sixty percent of the \$15,000 that will be traded in the deal.

“Pagan societies sacrificed virgins and publicly sold them in brothels, but the West had embraced a Christian morality that rejected this. We preserved this morality by leadership from people who not only shared these values to the extent any of us is successful in living them, but saw it as a responsibility of leadership to model and uphold them publicly and in public policy.

“Instead today we have a federal government which has negotiated its way into office by denying its most publicly made promise and an opposition that is happy to curry the favor of the wealthy gambling industry, rather than demand on principle it shouldn’t be making five billion dollars a year from problem gamblers. Political advantage in both cases, the obsession with power, has taken the place of virtue. It is little wonder that we now have a virgin being publicly raffled.”

As long as this pornification of society remains unchecked, we will simply witness more and more such outrages. It really is time to cry “Enough is Enough.”

—Culture Watch, November 12, 2011

## The Schwarz Report Bookshelf

To see a complete list of books recommended by the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, please check out our website at [www.schwarzreport.org](http://www.schwarzreport.org). This site also has back issues of *The Schwarz Report* as well as other great resources.

# Occupy Oakland's Boots

## Riley

by Michelle Malkin

The next stage of the Aimless Occupation of America is upon us: On Wednesday, rabble-rousers in the San Francisco Bay Area will walk off jobs they don't have and encourage everyone else around the country to abandon work to protest high unemployment. The Occupiers are calling their organized day of inaction a "Mass Day of Action." The Carpenters Local 713, the Service Employees International Union, the United Auto Workers, and the Industrial Workers of the World have all endorsed the "general strike." Longshore workers and their union agitators are rooting for the shutdown of the Port of Oakland. Teachers unions will push students and educators to play hooky. Their posters urge: "No Work. No School. Occupy Everywhere."

A city suffering from chronic poverty, out-of-control crime, a \$76 million budget deficit, and a 15 percent unemployment rate (nearly 50 percent for Oakland's youth) can hardly afford such social justice follies. But a pushover Democratic mayor and an overwhelmed police force have left what's left of gainfully employed Oakland taxpayers at the mercy of professional freeloaders and anti-capitalism saboteurs.

Instead of unequivocally condemning efforts to paralyze downtown commerce, Oakland city officials have all expressed sympathy for the protesters. For a brief moment, the city council president fretted meekly about the city's image after a violent clash between Camp Chaos inhabitants and law enforcement officers last week. Nevertheless, city leaders—or rather, city enablers—have informed public employees that they can use vacation or other paid time to ditch their offices and raise their fists in solidarity with the Occupiers.

Instead of targeting local bank branch managers and private-sector entrepreneurs, the protesters should be camping out at government offices and asking where all the tens of millions of dollars in federal Obama stimulus funding went over the past two years—including \$40 million from the Department of Health and Human Services, nearly \$30 million from the Department of Housing and Urban Development, \$26 million from the Department of Justice, \$24 million from the Transportation Department, \$15 million from the Department of Education, and \$5.3 million from the Environmental Protection Agency.

One local analysis found last year that the Oakland Housing Authority squandered nearly \$11 million in federal project renovation and clean-up stimulus grants to create a measly 10.7 jobs.

It would all be an amusing object lesson on the impotence of the welfare state, if not for the looming shadow of violence that hangs like stubborn Bay Area fog over the movement. In 2003, a like-minded mob of police-provoking anarchists, anti-war organizers, and progressive activists descended on the Port of Oakland to coordinate a "Day of Action." They hurled concrete, wood, and iron bolts at cops while attempting to block military shipments to soldiers in wartime—and then whined about police brutality.

Fast-forward eight years. This week's "Day of Action" is spearheaded by the likes of Oakland rapper Boots Riley, a militant, self-declared "communist" who penned "5 Million Ways To Kill a CEO" ("Toss a dollar in the river and when he jump in/If you find he can swim, put lead boots on him and do it again") and "Lazy Muthaf\*\*kas" ("You ain't never learned to drive or tie your shoe/I got my ear to the street and my eye on you/... You're a lazy \*\*\*\*\*! Lazy \*\*\*\*\*!"). After the 9/11 attacks, I reported on Riley's appalling album cover depicting him partying in front of a doctored image of the World Trade Center being blown up.

Like fellow Occupier, 9/11 conspiracy theorist, and Oakland community organizer Van Jones, Riley has long stoked anti-police grievances. In "Pork and Beef," he rapped: "If you got beef with c-o-ps/Throw a Molotov at the p-i-gs."

Add to this toxic mix the thugs of the International Longshore and Warehouse Union. The planned march on Oakland's port is being billed as an expression of "solidarity with longshore workers in their struggle" against grain importer EGT. In Longview, WA, wildcat union workers cut train brake lines, smashed windows, dumped grain, and took hostages earlier this fall to protest the company's decision to employ not non-union workers, but workers from a competing shop. A federal judge fined the ILWU \$250,000 after it defied a court restraining order. Even Obama's National Labor Relations Board was forced to issue a complaint against the union's "violent and aggressive" actions.

The unapologetic local union president vowed: "It's going to get worse before it gets better." Mark those words.

—*Human Events*, November 7, 2011, p. 20