



Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report

60 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. David Noebel

December 2021

Merry Christmas!

The Birth of Jesus Christ

In those days a decree went out from Caesar Augustus that the whole empire should be registered. This first registration took place while Quirinius was governing Syria. So everyone went to be registered, each to his own town.

And Joseph also went up from the town of Nazareth in Galilee, to Judea, to the city of David, which is called Bethlehem, because he was of the house and family line of David, to be registered along with Mary, who was engaged to him and was pregnant. While they were there, the time came for her to give birth. Then she gave birth to her firstborn Son, and she wrapped Him snugly in cloth and laid Him in a feeding trough—because there was no room for them at the lodging place.

In the same region, shepherds were staying out in the fields and keeping watch at night over their flock. Then an angel of the Lord stood before them, and the glory of the Lord shone around them, and they were terrified. But the angel said to them, “Don’t be afraid, for look, I proclaim to you good news of great joy that will be for all the people: Today a Savior, who is Messiah the Lord, was born for you in the city of David. This will be the sign for you: You will find a baby wrapped snugly in cloth and lying in a feeding trough.”

Suddenly there was a multitude of the heavenly host with the angel, praising God and saying

“Glory to God in the highest heaven,
and peace on earth to people He favors!”

When the angels had left them and returned to heaven, the shepherds said to one another, “Let’s go straight to Bethlehem and see what has happened, which the Lord has made known to us.”

They hurried off and found both Mary and Joseph, and the baby who was lying in the feeding trough.

After seeing them, they reported the message they were told about this child, and all who heard it were amazed at what the shepherds said to them. But Mary was treasuring up all these things in her heart and meditating on them. The shepherds returned, glorifying and praising God for all they had seen and heard, just as they had been told.

—Luke 2:1-20 Holman Christian Standard Bible

An Age of Lies

by Fay Voshell

In his novel *The First Circle*, Alexander Solzhenitsyn pictures Stalin ruminating over the concept of “language as an instrument of production.” A new way of speaking would establish the reality of the communist order and the communist man and woman.

The Man of Steel had thought about refuting “the reactionary theory of relativity or wave mechanics,” but was “too busy with affairs of state” to tackle those ideas. Trofim Lysenko, who was in charge of Soviet science, was already conforming the science of biology to communist ideological standards.

Since Stalin already had achieved massive ideological victories over bourgeois science, it seemed easy to change language and the meaning of the human being in order to hasten the progress of the new communist order, which in his mind was such an orderly, clear, and wonderfully comprehensive abstraction.

For Stalin, disruption of the food supply chain in Ukraine and the resultant starvation of millions of peasants were necessary phases of a cultural shakeup. They were required to speed up the inevitable evolutionary process that eventually would eliminate injurious class distinctions.

Empty granaries were to be regarded not as a genuine crisis but as an imaginary “high class” predicament brought on by the greed of those accused of consuming and hoarding far too many goods. Suddenly every citizen who resisted collectivization was categorized as an execrable “kulak.” By means of a linguistic sleight of hand, a class of deplorables was tarred by nomenclature defining them as selfish and disregarding the collective welfare. It followed that such parasitic lowlifes deserved elimination, as they were holding back the realization of the communist eschaton. They were inhibiting the emergence of the ideal “communist man” Leon Trotsky wrote about in 1924 in *Literature and Revolution*: Man will...extend the wires of his will into hidden recesses, and thereby to raise himself to a new plane, to create a higher social biologic type, or if you please, a superman.”

Be it by language, forcible rearrangement of the civil society, or by war, Stalin’s ultimate hope was to permanently extinguish any non-communist way of seeing, hearing, and writing about the world. A new language of truth was to be established in order for pure ideological constructs to become the only real, lived truth. The new reality was to be established and maintained by force.

History has shown the results. Communist ideology put into practice ruined Russia. The disasters brought

upon the Russian people and their culture by Stalin still resonate.

But the harsh fact is that *any* ideological vision based on the language of lies disrupts and ultimately destroys by fostering chaos and destruction.

Currently, the trans movement, which is the ideological spearpoint of transhumanism, denies the reality of the human being as male or female in favor of a vision of a new creature redefined by language and born again via technological advances into an *ubermensch*. A superior being, neither male nor female, will lead the way into a future formerly conceived only in dystopic science fiction.

But the distinction between man and woman persists in reflecting fundamental reality. That reality in turn remains the irreducible basis of any culture or civilization. The family predates any civilization and remains foundational to any society. Wife, mother, sister; husband, father, brother are universal categorizations of human beings that have been accepted throughout all of human history as true by all religions, nations, tribes, and cultures.

In short, the recognition of the human race as being male and female is intrinsic to the functioning of any society. It has wide-ranging implications resonating throughout all of any culture’s institutions and practices, including the law. In fact, it can be argued the principle of the binary is written into the cosmos and in the heart of every human being: good and evil; chaos and order.

The truth is that the attempt to grant men and women the status of disembodied spirits detached from earthly reality does not liberate them. Instead, radical disassociation from the body renders each and every human to the level of raw material which can then be utilized in whatever way the more powerful choose. Be it the genocide of the inconvenient, the sale of organs taken from hapless prisoners, or the creation of humanized mice from baby body parts; no ethical standard need be applied. Human material is seen as no different than any other material.

Just as importantly, the attempt to divorce the body from its intrinsic nature as male and female eliminates the chief basis of human connectivity, as it eliminates the other. A human reduced to a mere unit, a thing without essential identity is incapable of relating to any other human being. Worse, the human cannot relate to the Creator. Attempts of humans to commune with God and God to commune to humans are rendered pointless. For Christians, the need for redemption via the bridge to God through Christ is rendered mute.

What does the trans movement substitute in place of a transcendent, Redeemer God?

In the place of God is a new self-contained being; a “they.” The use of the preferred royal pronoun “they” is an indicator of the creation of a plural self; a being who

assumes the generative powers once attributed to the Trinity, the Three in One. “They” indicates a multiplicity of personages unrestrained by the singularity intrinsic to other humans known as male or female. “They” are raised above this too solid flesh into another realm entirely. “They” are raised beyond individual physicality to the status of the divine. “They” are the new royal “we.”

The new hierarchy of “they” has the power to rule, as “they” are the living embodiment of transhuman ideology, superior messianic figures who lead the way to a new world order.

We have seen such messianic beings representing ideological perfection before. Whether it was the Aryan sports heroes who were to make toast of American track star Jesse Owens; whether it was the ideologically correct communist Boris Spassky who was to bury Bobby Fischer; or whether it is the trans individual who is transformed into a messianic female warrior and given the rank of a four-star admiral—every ideology that perverts reality in order to utterly remake society creates new stars to shine in the updated cosmic order.

What was once entirely academia’s postmodern messianism has now infiltrated nearly every western institution. Every person is a god entitled to speak truth from what theologian Paul VanderKlay calls a “secret sacred place.” An infallible word arising from this secret scared space, which is discerned by a few elite priests who believe themselves to be in charge of the new reality is not subject to judgment. Their logos infallibly has spoken the new reality into existence. The masses must then conform to the newspeak and the new order discerned by those who see clearly from their sacred place.

Thus, the earth will be recreated by the logos of priestly newspeak; a word that replaces the Christian doctrine of Christ as the eternal Logos; the Word who created the cosmos. “They” may create “their” own world without reference to an Other.

The ancient prophecy of the serpent “Ye shall be as gods” is to be actualized at last.

However, the inevitable result of mere mortals becoming as gods is that those who become gods first are made mad. They become insane because of their denial of reality.

As we watch America and much of the world writhing under the torturous rule of insane leaders, the answer Solzhenitsyn gave decades ago remains critically important. His words in his essay. “Live Not by Lies,” echo the words uttered by Hebrew prophets and summarized by Jesus Christ: “You shall know the truth and the truth will make you free.”

“Our way must be: Never knowingly support lies!”

—*American Thinker*, October 30, 2021

Vladimir Putin on Woke Culture

by David Zuckerman

On October 21, 2021, Vladimir Putin addressed the Valdai Discussion Club, a Russian think tank established in 2004. His observations, especially about “woke” culture deserve attention even though he holds power as an autocrat and likely has permanently disposed of individuals who do not appreciate his rule.

From his remarks, alone, it is clear that Putin does not consider himself a communist, for he identifies himself as a “moderate conservative.” This may explain why leftists were so happy to smear Trump as a Russian stooge and to ascribe to Putin all sorts of election interference in America.

The theme at Valdai was “Global Shake-up in the 21st Century: The Individual, Values, and the State.” America’s Democrats were horrified, with *The Washington Post* calling the address an attack on Western liberalism. The reason for this horror is clear: As *The Advocate* explained, Putin opposes the so-called “transgender” movement, which he calls “a crime against humanity.” A closer, less biased reading of the text, suggests that Putin spoke in the tradition of Western liberalism.

Early in his remarks, Putin acknowledged that “we are facing systemic changes in all direction—from the increasingly complicated geophysical conditions of our planet to a more paradoxical interpretation of what a human is and what the reasons for his assistance are.” He’s sufficient au courant of Western thinking to have climbed aboard the climate change express, intimating that “droughts, floods, hurricanes, and tsunamis” are the future. The COVID pandemic, too, is “another reminder of how fragile our community is, how vulnerable it is, and our most important task is to ensure humanity a safe existence and resilience.”

Putin took a detour to note that “[e]veryone is saying that the current model of capitalism. . . has run its course and no longer offers a solution to a host of increasingly tangled differences.” He pointed to the problem of unequal distribution of wealth as a “major and deep” threat to social divisions.” Putin told the Valdai gathering, “Disappointment spurs aggression and pushes people to join the ranks of extremists.”

He also commented that, while the pandemic, theoretically, was “supposed to rally the people” against “this massive common threat,” it has, instead, become “a divisive rather than a unifying factor.” “Where are the fundamentals of Western political thought,” he asked. “It appears there is nothing there, just idle talk.”

Putin, like many conservatives, believes that the pandemic killed globalism. Instead, it “has clearly

shown that the international order is structured around nation states.” Acknowledging that, in recent decades, many observers dismissed the concept of the nation-state as “outdated and outgoing,” Putin said, “Only sovereign states can effectively respond to the challenges of the times and the demands of the citizens.”

And then, tactfully, without naming names, Putin attacked the madness that is Biden’s America. “[E]ach state,” he said, should decide for itself how best to deal with crises, “based on its abilities, culture, and traditions.” With this statement, he began the observations that so horrified Democrats and their fellow travelers, for he explained that current cultural developments underway in the West are unacceptable in Russia *because* Russia has learned from its unfortunate experience with the Bolshevik revolution, a century ago.

Before presenting passages from Putin’s important address to the Valdai Discussion Club, here are a few of his observations that did not mention the US, but are clearly directed at it:

The fight for equality and against discrimination has turned into aggressive dogmatism bordering on absurdity, when the works of the great authors of the past—such as Shakespeare—are no longer taught at schools or universities, because their ideas are believed to be backward. The classics are declared backward and ignorant of the importance of gender or race. In Hollywood memos are distributed about proper storytelling and how many characters of what colour or gender should be in a movie. This is even worse than the agitprop department of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union.

Countering acts of racism is a necessary and noble cause, but the new “cancel culture” has turned it into “reverse discrimination” that is, reverse racism. The obsessive emphasis on race is further dividing people, when the real fighters for civil rights dreamed precisely about erasing differences and refusing to divide people by skin colour. I specifically asked my colleagues to find the following quote from Martin Luther King: “I have a dream that my four little children will one day live in a nation where they will not be judged by the colour of their skin but by their character.” This is the true value. However, things are turning out differently there. By the way, the absolute majority of Russian people do not think that the colour of a person’s skin or their gender is an important matter. Each of us is a human being. This is what matters.

In a number of Western countries, the debate over men’s and women’s rights has turned into a

perfect phantasmagoria. Look, beware of going where the Bolsheviks once planned to go—not only communalising chickens, but also communalising women. One more step and you will be there.

Zealots of these new approaches even go so far as to want to abolish these concepts altogether. Anyone who dares mention that men and women actually exist, which is a biological fact, risk being ostracised. “Parent number one” and “parent number two,” “birthing parent” instead of “mother,” and “human milk” replacing “breastmilk” because it might upset the people who are unsure about their own gender. I repeat, this is nothing new; in the 1920s, the so-called Soviet Kulturtraegers also invented some newspeak believing they were creating a new consciousness and changing values that way. And, as I have already said, they made such a mess it still makes one shudder at times.

Not to mention some truly monstrous things when children are taught from an early age that a boy can easily become a girl and vice versa. That is, the teachers actually impose on them a choice we all supposedly have. They do so while shutting the parents out of the process and forcing the child to make decisions that can upend their entire life. They do not even bother to consult with child psychologists—is a child at this age even capable of making a decision of this kind? Calling a spade a spade, this verges on a crime against humanity, and it is being done in the name and under the banner of progress.

Had Putin said any of this in America, he would have been shouted down and. . . well, heck, we don’t need to speculate. Just look at what LGBTQ cancel culture is doing to Dave Chappelle. As Putin said, the leftists in the West—in America—have become even “worse than the agitprop department of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union.”

Although he enjoys the benefits of being a dictator who seems comfortable with using the nation’s wealth to reward his friends and the nation’s police power to destroy his enemies. . . uh, is it me or does that sound like the Biden administration? But back to the point: Putin is not an Enlightenment-style liberal but, as someone raised and successful within the communist system, he fully understands what it takes to destroy a nation from within to vest permanent power in the hands of a small number of people.

Even if *The Washington Post* and *The Advocate* don’t like Putin’s words, the rest of us would be very wise to attend to them.

—*American Thinker*, October 31, 2021

Alexandr Solzhenitsyn Speaks Truth

by M.E. Boyd

“Not everything has a name. Some things lead us into a realm beyond words.” Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn. (1918-2008)

For even the most devoted Wokesters in America today—whether true believers or camp followers—all know America is in *a realm beyond words*. It resembles the type of heightened exhilaration before one hits the ground in the instant agony of sure death. A *Thelma and Louise* moment.

At such a time, and before our nation hits the ground, we may want to re-visit a complicated writer, loyal Russian, and American visitor for almost twenty years—Alexandr Solzhenitsyn.

This is a man who loved his country but not his country’s tyranny. This is a man who embraced socialism and communism with his great mind and then turned on those ideologies once he saw them in practice. Stalin had him arrested and sent to labor camps in 1945. The Soviet Union exiled him for his writing and publishing, and he eventually ended up in Montpelier, Vermont. Solzhenitsyn was a complex, perhaps tortured soul. He tried his best to explain how both Russia and America got it wrong.

And so, what he had to say about American society in a 1978 commencement speech at Harvard University is worth noting.

Solzhenitsyn gave the country a good dressing down. America lacks civic courage. America’s foreign policy reflects weakness, cowardice, and a lack of manliness. Americans have become materialistic, irreligious, place man at the center of the universe, and have the hubris to think that the Western social construct should be exported worldwide.

Solzhenitsyn considered the American mass media to be nothing but a superficial purveyor of misinformation under the guise of “freedom of the press.” There is no such thing in America, he said; it is really freedom *from* a deep investigation. The reporting in America is superficial and hastily contrived. It creates mass prejudices, blindness, and self-delusion.

He said that America has lost its Christian heritage and has become soulless—its form of rational humanism emancipates people from their moral core and creates a type of poverty of the spirit that allows evil ideologies to enter the society and take hold. He saw the signs all around. There is a decline in the arts in America. There are no statesmen of quality in America. When the delusional and unrestrained don’t get what they want they loot and burn. We might add mob action

for today’s activists—even at weddings and in restrooms. Only a crowbar of horrific events can break open the minds of the deluded—a *Thelma and Louise* moment or moments that will destroy all.

Solzhenitsyn would not recommend our society to the world.

This speech, remember, was given in 1978. He was living in Vermont with his three sons and second wife. Before he went back to live in Russia, he had educated his children at MIT and Harvard. In his memoir of that time, *Between Two Millstones, Book 2*, Solzhenitsyn admitted he admired the concepts he allowed himself to experience in America although he kept mostly to himself. He admired the local nature of things here. He liked the idea of our Rule of Law and our fierce protection of Liberty. He liked the New England sense of self-restraint.

Solzhenitsyn warned that if we continued our moral decline and allowed socialism to replace our free-enterprise system that “*Socialism of any type leads to a total destruction of the human spirit, and to a leveling of mankind into death.*” He warned us that the path we are on will lead to—*a realm beyond words*. His colleague, Igor Shafarevich, put it this way: Socialism’s goal is to abolish private property, the family as the organic structure of society, and all religion.

America is in the car at the edge of the cliff. The car is running. Will we gun the engine and fly off the edge to sure demise? Are there enough Americans with moral courage to take the keys away? Are there enough politicians to say “no” to both the bills now before Congress that are intended to destroy our society, the harm of which cannot be reversed? Has any religious leader the faintest remembrance of our deeply rooted moral heritage that places God at the center of man’s responsibility and is ever vigilant lest the “old deluder” lead us astray?

Solzhenitsyn’s warnings to America were not well-received. His criticism of America was, in part, that we did not understand or respect Mother Russia. In fact, Solzhenitsyn did not understand America completely, either. His view that the 18th-century Enlightenment separated America from God is not accurate. The Constitution of 1787 rejected theocracy but acknowledged the importance of religion in the social structure by leaving religious matters, including the establishment of state churches, to each state. The Founders never intended a wall of separation between individuals and God. What has separated Americans from God is materialism and relativism. Solzhenitsyn was right about that.

Alexis de Tocqueville, 1831, says it succinctly: *Liberty regards religion. . . as the divine source of its claims. It considers religion the safeguard of morality, and morality as the best security of law, and*

the surest pledge of the duration of freedom. Tocqueville also thought the invention of the township as another layer of local control one of the great gifts to the art of statecraft.

Perhaps the civic courage we need now is best represented not by politicians and statesmen, but by ordinary American parents, trying to save their children in whose DNA lies the best American traits of strength and morals. They will turn off the engine of our self-inflicted inclination toward cultural suicide and wrap a firm but loving arm around Thelma and Louise until they regain their senses.

We do thank this great man, however, for pointing out how we got to the edge of the cliff in the first place. What, one wonders, would he say about America in 2021?

—*American Thinker*, November 1, 2021

A Pandemic of the Unvaccinated

by Thomas T. Siler, M.D.

There is a massive propaganda push against those choosing not to vaccinate against COVID-19 with the experimental mRNA vaccines. Mainstream media, the big tech corporations, and our government have combined efforts to reward compliance and to shame and marginalize non-compliance. Their mantra says that this is a pandemic of the unvaccinated. Persons who choose not to vaccinate are characterized as unintelligent, selfish, paranoid people who don't read much and live in a trailer park in Florida (or Alabama, or Texas, or name your state). Never has there been such an effort to cajole, manipulate through fear, and penalize people to take an experimental medical treatment.

However, as time has passed with this pandemic and more data accumulates about the virus and the vaccine, the unvaccinated are looking smarter and smarter with each passing week. It has been shown now that the vaccinated equally catch and spread the virus. Vaccine side effect data continues to accumulate that make the risk of taking the vaccine prohibitive as the pandemic wanes. Oral and IV medications (fccc.net) that work early in the treatment of COVID-19 are much more attractive to take now as the vaccine risks are becoming known, especially because the vaccinated will need endless boosters every six months.

First, let's address the intelligence of the unvaccinated. Vaccine hesitancy is multi-factorial and has little to do with level of education or intelligence. Carnegie Mellon University did a study

assessing vaccine hesitancy across educational levels. According to the study, what's the educational level with the most vaccine hesitancy? Ph.D. level! Those can't all have been awarded to liberal arts majors. Clearly, scientists who can read the data and assess risk are among the least likely to take the mRNA vaccines.

The claim that there's a pandemic of the unvaccinated is, therefore, patently untrue. As a retired nurse from California recently asked, "Why do the protected need to be protected from the unprotected by forcing the unprotected to use the protection that did not protect the protected in the first place?" If the vaccine works to prevent infection, then the vaccinated have nothing to worry about. If the vaccine does not prevent infection, then the vaccinated remain at some risk, and the unvaccinated would be less likely to choose a vaccine that does not work well.

The mRNA vaccine efficacy is very narrow and focused on the original alpha strain of COVID-19. By targeting one antigen group on the spike protein, it does help for the original alpha strain, but it is clear now it does not protect against Delta strain and is likely not protective against any future strains that might circulate. It also appears that the efficacy wanes in 4-6 months, leading to discussions about boosters.

Several authors have pointed out that vaccinating with a "leaky" vaccine during a pandemic is driving the virus to escape by creating variants. If the booster is just another iteration of the same vaccine, it likely won't help against the new strain but will, instead, produce evolutionary pressure on the virus to produce even more variants and expose us to more side effects. Why, then, is this booster strategy for everyone being pursued?

This vast Phase 3 clinical trial of mRNA vaccines in which Americans are participating mostly out of fear is not going well. It is abundantly clear for anyone advocating for public health that the vaccination program should be stopped. Iceland has just stopped giving the Moderna vaccine to anyone which is a good step in the right direction. Sweden, Denmark, and Finland have banned the Moderna vaccine for anyone under the age of 30.

VAERS, our vaccine adverse effect reporting system, showed at the beginning of this week 16,000 deaths, 23,000 disabilities, 10,000 MI/myocarditis, 87,000 urgent care visits, 75,000 hospital stays, and 775,000 total adverse events. The VAERS system is widely known to under-report events, with an estimated 90 to 99% of events going unreported there.

Eudravigilance, the European reporting system now associates 26,000 deaths in close proximity to administration of the vaccine. Whistleblower data from the CMS system (Medicare charts) showed close to 50,000 deaths in the Medicare group shortly after the vaccine.

An AI-powered tracking program called Project Salus also follows the Medicare population and shows vaccinated Medicare recipients are having worse outcomes week by week of the type consistent with Antibody Dependent Enhancement. This occurs when the vaccine antibodies actually accelerate the infection leading to worsening COVID-19 infection outcomes. Antibody Dependent Enhancement has occurred previously with trials of other coronavirus vaccines in animals. The CDC and the FDA are suppressing this data and no one who receives the vaccine has true informed consent.

The Rome declaration has 6,700 medical signatories attesting that the handling of the pandemic amounts to crimes against humanity for denying the best medical treatment and continuing to advocate for harmful vaccines. The evidence is right in front of Americans to end the propaganda and mass mask psychosis.

The media narrative of perpetual fear is falling apart. Norway, Sweden, and Denmark have ended all COVID restrictions and are doing much better than the US, UK, and Israel, three countries that continue to vaccinate into the pandemic. Mexico, Guatemala, Indonesia, almost all of Africa, and parts of India have low vaccination rates and are doing much better than the US, something attributed to their managing the pandemic by using Ivermectin.

Over 500,000 people attended the Sturgis motorcycle rally in August and there was no super spread of COVID-19. Football season started in August and stadiums around the country are packed with 80,000 fans yelling and screaming with no masks. There have been no superspreader events, yet the students are forced to go back to masking in class. This makes no sense.

If the vaccine is so important why do our government leaders and illegal aliens not have to take it? Currently, 13 states that are Democratic with high vaccination rates have the highest “case” rates (using a faulty PCR test), while Republican states are all doing better. How does this happen?

It should be clear that the government has manipulated COVID to create perpetual fear, so we’ll hand it our liberty. In this giant battle between our government and the unvaccinated, I hope enough people will refuse to comply so that we can unite to stop this madness.

I know this decision is very difficult for many people when it comes to losing their job. To the vaccinated, please don’t take any boosters for you’ll just be perpetuating the risk of side effects and new variants.

If we allow the government to decide this medical decision for us, it is a short step for the government to say it can decide other medical decisions for you, e.g., all persons over 75 never be resuscitated; people may have

only three children (or two or one) with mandatory sterilization for women; or refusing the government’s demands will see you denied health care.

Is this the totalitarian state you want to live in? If you are proudly vaccinated now and on the government side, what about the next government mandate, when you’re on the other side, coerced into a decision you don’t want, how will you feel then?

It is obvious that the government (with the Fauci subset), the media, and big tech, are trying to divide us and take away the freedoms we have enjoyed as Americans. I am praying that all who call themselves Americans can unite to end this medical tyranny and regain a free America before it is too late. Peacefully resist and do not comply.

—*American Thinker*, October 16, 2021

War on Father, Mother, Child

by Don Feder

In “Godfather I,” Vincent Sollozzo says he’ll offer the Corleone family a deal that’s too good to refuse—one which will guarantee the safety of the Don, who’s lying in a hospital bed severely wounded after two attempts on his life.

But Michael Corleone sees reality with crystal clarity: “I don’t care what Sollozzo says about a deal, he’s gonna kill Pop, that’s it. That’s the key for him.”

For Marxists, killing the family is the key to everything. The war on the family isn’t peripheral; it’s central to the revolution.

The National Council on Family Relations, a professional association started in 1938 and consisting of hundreds of marriage and family therapists and researchers, just announced a webinar called, “Toward Dismantling Family Privilege and White Supremacy in Family Science.”

Just as white people are “privileged,” according to CRT, so too is the nuclear family, which supposedly enjoys “unearned benefits in US laws, policies, and practices” over “non-traditional configured family systems”—including single-parent families and “committed partners living together and raising children.”

The question which seems almost too-obvious to ask (but which alludes most social scientists) is this: Why is it that intact (two-parent) families consistently outperform others on every measurement—income, independence, and children who are healthier, happier, and less prone to social pathologies.

Who can fail to see that most problems in the black community are due to the virtual disappearance of two-

parent families? Since the 1960s (when the Great Society began its war on the black family in the guise of fighting poverty), the black crime rate, out-of-wedlock birthrate, and welfare rate have soared.

Due to the success of Black Lives Matter, everything now is seen through the lens of institutional racism—criminal justice, electoral politics and, of course, the family. When BLM’s founders described themselves as “trained Marxists,” believe it.

Until negative publicity forced them to take it down, BLM’s website proclaimed: “We disrupt the *Western-prescribed* nuclear family structure requirement by supporting each other as extended families and ‘villages’ that collectively care for one another...” Western-prescribed? Presumably, there are no nuclear families in Africa or Asia.

BLM echoes the screwball theories of Marx and Engels, who insisted there was an ancient, matriarchal communist society which preceded patriarchy and capitalism. They viewed the “bourgeoisie family” as capitalism in miniature, with the father/husband as the owner and his wife and children as the exploited proletariat. Both will be abolished by the communist state, they predicted.

Writing in the 1920s, Antonio Gramsci, the father of Cultural Marxism, reversed the equation. Since the family is the chief obstacle to revolution, its destruction must precede the triumph of communism, not follow it.

Gramsci said the family will be overthrown, and society reshaped, through subversion of the culture: “In the new order, Socialism will triumph—via infiltration of schools, universities, churches, and the media by transforming the consciousness of society.”

In 21st century America, the contest is being played out in the educational arena.

For the left, the central purpose of public schools isn’t education but indoctrination. Public education has failed miserably at the former (as may be seen by plummeting standardized test scores) but succeeded spectacularly at the latter (as may be seen in the leftward drift of the electorate—especially younger voters).

The objective is to take children away from parental values and align them with the worldview of the Democratic Party. The cutting edge is CRT and the sexualization of students, seen most recently in the enthusiastic embrace of transgenderism.

The battle lines are drawn, with the public education establishment (administrators, school committees, and

teachers’ unions) and Democrat politicians on one side and parents and some conservative politicians on the other.

Parents are outraged by what their children are being taught. Progressives are outraged that families would try to interfere with the intellectual/emotional kidnapping. For the left, the role of families in education is to pay up and shut up.

Again, the principal purpose of the schools is to get children to reject the parental worldview (the Judeo-Christian ethic, patriotism, individualism, and the free market) in favor of Cultural Marxism (neo-paganism, internationalism, determinism, and collectivism).

In clear defiance of the dictates of the elite, protesting parents are invading school committee meetings, calling for accountability and demanding change.

At a Fairfax, VA school committee meeting, a mother had the chutzpah to read aloud from a book promoting gay pedophilia found in the school library. In Loudoun Co., VA, a father charged that his daughter was sexually assaulted in the girls’ room by a boy wearing a skirt, a crime the school system tried to cover up to protect its transgender policies.

The Empire is striking back with a vengeance. In Virginia, Democratic gubernatorial candidate, and former Governor Terry McAuliffe lectured the little people, “I don’t think parents should be telling schools what they should teach.” The American Federation of Teachers showed its appreciation with a \$25,000 campaign contribution.

Biden, who’s even more of a tool of the teachers’ mafia, has his Justice Department investigating activist parents as domestic terrorists under the Patriots Act.

Nothing must be allowed to interfere with the pedagogical brainwashing—the main offensive of the war on the family.

Marxists hate competition. They demand the undivided loyalty of the masses. Thus, destroying the family is an imperative.

In “Godfather III,” an aged, ailing Michael Corleone dramatically announces, “Our true enemy has not yet shown his face.”

Our true enemy has been showing us his face for more than a century. We’ve seen it in planned economies, gulags, and the war on parents and children. For the family, it’s the face of death.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, October 21, 2021

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009) has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and is offered free of charge to anyone asking for it. The Crusade’s address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is 719-685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (CACC is a 501C3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. You may also access earlier editions of *The Schwarz Report* and make donations at www.schwarzreport.org. Permission to reproduce materials from this Report is granted provided that the article and author are given along with our name and address. Our daily blog address is www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com.