



Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report

65 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. David Noebel

The Fall and Rise of Sodom and Gomorrah by David Noebel

July 2025

Part 1

“This was before God destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah...Now the men of Sodom were evil, sinning greatly against the LORD.” Genesis 13:10, 13. “Then the LORD said, ‘The outcry against Sodom and Gomorrah is immense, and their sin is extremely serious.’” Genesis 18:20. “Before they [two angels] went to bed, the men of Sodom, both young and old, the whole population, surrounded the house. They called out to Lot and said, ‘Where are the men who came to you tonight? Send them out to us so we can have sex with them.’” Genesis 19:4

“All its soil will be a burning waste of sulfur and salt, unsown, producing nothing, with no plant growing on it, just like the fall of Sodom and Gomorrah.” Deuteronomy 29:23. “The look on their faces testifies against them, and like Sodom, they flaunt their sin. They do not conceal it. Woe to them for they have brought evil on themselves.” Isaiah 3:9. “And Babylon, the jewel of the kingdoms, the glory of the pride of the Chaldeans, will be like Sodom and Gomorrah when God overthrew them.” Isaiah 13:19. “Among the prophets of Jerusalem also I saw a horrible thing. They commit adultery and walk in lies. They strengthen the hands of evildoers, and none turns his back on evil. They are all like Sodom to Me; Jerusalem’s residents are like Gomorrah.” Jeremiah 23:14

“As when Sodom and Gomorrah were overthrown along with their neighbors...no one will live there; no human beings will even stay in it as a resident alien.” Jeremiah 49:18. “The punishment of my dear people is greater than that of Sodom, which was overthrown in an instant without a hand laid on it.” Lamentations 4:6. “I sent plagues like those of Egypt. I overthrew some of you as I overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah, yet you did not return to Me. Therefore Israel, prepare to meet your God.” Amos 4:10-12. “Moab will be like Sodom and the Ammonites like Gomorrah—a place overgrown with weeds, a salt pit, and a perpetual wasteland.” Zephaniah 2:9.

“But on the day Lot left Sodom, fire and sulfur rained from heaven and destroyed them all. It will be like that on the day the Son of Man is revealed.” Luke 17:29,30. “Males committed shameless acts with males and received in their own persons the appropriate penalty for their perversion. And because they did not think it worthwhile to have God in their knowledge, God delivered them over to a worthless mind to do what is morally wrong.” Romans 1:27,28. “Do not be deceived: no sexually immoral people, idolaters, adulterers, male prostitutes, homosexuals, thieves, greedy people, drunkards, revilers, or swindlers will inherit God’s kingdom. 1 Corinthians 6:9,10. “God brought a flood on the world of the ungodly; and He reduced the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah to ashes and condemned them to ruin, making them an example to those who were going to be ungodly.” 2 Peter 2:5-7. “In the same way, Sodom and Gomorrah and the cities around them committed sexual immorality and practiced perversion, just as they did, and serve as an example by undergoing the punishment of eternal fire.” Jude 7

Part 2

“In 2000, John Stryker, gay heir to a one-hundred-billion-dollar surgical supply conglomerate, kicked off the new millennium by launching the Arcus Foundation, a grant-making institution that soon became the largest funder of LGBTQ [lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer] initiatives in the United States.

“Stryker foundation began devoting tens of millions of dollars to, in its words, ‘challenging the promotion of narrow or hateful interpretations of religious doctrine’ within every major Christian denomination. . . According to Arcus’s own reports, by training ‘faithful Methodists at all levels to become advocates for LGBTQ persons in the full life of the church.’ Given that the UMC went through a schism in 2022 over LGBTQ ordination and gay marriage, it seems Stryker’s money was well spent.

“One particular Arcus expenditure proved strategic, as it managed to harness the influence of both Andy Stanley’s North Point on the Eastern Seaboard and another internationally famous megachurch in the West, Rick Warren’s

Saddleback.” Megan Basham, *Shepherds For Sale: How Evangelical Leaders Traded The Truth For A Leftist Agenda*, p. 202f.

“*Shepherds For Sale* offers an urgent wake-up call for all faithful Christians. With brave reporting and translucent writing, Megan Basham reveals that some of our most trusted ministry leaders have proven to be liars and charlatans, bought by the world’s filthy lucre and flattery. It’s not too late to repent and believe in the Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ and drive out the wolves.”—Rosaria Butterfield

“The coordinated takeover attempt of evangelical Christianity is one of the left’s most insidious and least discussed schemes. With her keen journalistic eye, rigorous investigatory skills, and riveting storytelling, Megan Basham is finally dragging this plot out into the light.”—Mollie Hemingway

Part 3

“Bad sex drives out the good, and, worst of all, philandering and homosexuality are exalted. Gay liberation, pornographic glut, and one-night trysts are all indices of sexual frustration; all usually disclose a failure to achieve profound and loving sexuality. When a society deliberately affirms these failures—contemplates legislation of homosexual marriage, celebrates the women who denounce the family, and indulges pornography as a manifestation of sexual health and a release from repression—the culture is promoting a form of erotic suicide. For it is destroying the cultural preconditions of profound love and sexuality: the durable heterosexual relationships necessary to a community of emotional investments and continuities in which children can find a secure place.”—George Gilder, *Sexual Suicide*, p. 4,5.

“Fuller Theological Seminary, an evangelical school in Pasadena, California, is deliberating whether to become more open to LGBTQ+ students who previously faced possible expulsion if found to be in a same-sex union. That’s according to a draft of proposed revisions to the seminary’s sexual standards that was obtained by *The Associated Press*.”—Deepa Bharath, *APNews.com*, May 26, 2025.

.....

Don’t miss a minute of the news and
analysis by David Noebel.

Check out our blog at:

www.thunderontheright.org

Homosexual Move on Fuller Seminary

by Deepa Bharath

Fuller Theological Seminary, an evangelical school in Pasadena, California, is deliberating whether to become more open to LGBTQ+ students who previously faced possible expulsion if found to be in a same-sex union. That’s according to a draft of proposed revisions to the seminary’s sexual standards that was obtained by *The Associated Press*.

If the board votes to approve these revisions, Fuller could become the first evangelical seminary in the country to adopt standards acknowledging the diversity of thought among Christians pertaining to human sexuality, according to retired professor John Hawthorne, an expert on Christian colleges.

Such a decision would carry Fuller into uncharted territory, Hawthorne said. “It’s a bold step for a school that fought off lawsuits on this very issue a few years ago.”

At the same time, several current and former students and faculty believe this move would preserve Fuller’s existing status as a “third space” where Christians with diverse views on sexuality are welcome—a space that has been shrinking nationally amid increasing political polarization on the issue.

Fuller issued a statement Thursday saying the deliberations on this topic are ongoing and drafts of possible revisions have been created solely for discussion and reflection. It says no proposals have been submitted to trustees for a vote and it is unclear when the board might even consider the matter.

Hawthorne, whose upcoming book argues that Christian colleges should put students front and center instead of worrying about critics, anticipates “significant blowback” from conservative Christians should Fuller move forward with the revisions.

“I hope they have a plan on how to manage the aftermath, the storm, when it comes,” he said.

Fuller’s president, David Goatley, who came to the nondenominational seminary in 2022 from Duke Divinity School, appointed a task force of administrators and faculty to look into the school’s sexual standards. That move came about a month after Ruth Schmidt was fired from her position as a senior administrator in January for refusing to sign the seminary’s sexual standards.

In 2019 and 2020, two former students sued Fuller, alleging they were being expelled for being in same-sex marriages. In October 2020, courts upheld Fuller’s right to enforce its sexual standards policy. Its standards prohibit “homosexual forms of explicit sexual conduct” and hold that sexual intimacy is reserved for a marriage between a man and a woman.

The draft containing the revisions, dated April 3, states “that there are thoughtful Christians and churches that have different interpretations. Therefore, we expect all members of this global, evangelical, and ecumenical seminary student and learner community to live with integrity consistent to the Christian communities to which they belong.”

The document is less clear, however, when it comes to standards for trustees, administrators, faculty and staff, requiring them “to abide by the sexual standard regarding sexual intimacy within the boundaries of the traditional understanding of marriage.” It also states that those from Christian communities that differ from Fuller’s stance supporting traditional marriage would still be expected to support the seminary’s position. It does not specify whether faculty, staff, and administrators would be penalized for being in a same-sex marriage.

Schmidt, who identifies as queer and started out as a student at the college in 2016, said she would have still been fired under the proposed new standards.

“But if this passes, that means queer students can set foot on campus without fear of being expelled,” she said, adding that she lived with that “visceral fear” as a queer student. “It’s going to be life changing for them.”

Schmidt, who now serves as a pastor at Claremont Presbyterian Church and is on track to be ordained in the United Church of Christ, said she would like to see Fuller’s faculty and staff get the same protections as students.

“We’ll probably see staff members and faculty quit over this,” she said. “It’s going to be a long journey of education and empathy before staff have that same protection.”

The proposed standards would also protect conservative Christian students “who will not be targeted or viewed as bigots,” Schmidt said.

“A place where a wide variety of theology is safe is so rare these days,” she said. “It feels like holy ground.”

Past and current students say Fuller has historically been a rare “third space” where a multitude of views are welcome. But for LGBTQ+ students, it has also been stress-inducing.

Joshua Beckett, who earned his doctorate from Fuller and taught a class in sexuality and ethics in which Schmidt was a student, said the students and professors on campus are not monolithic on this topic.

“They tend to be more open-minded and more willing to sit with nuance and uncertainty while being tolerant of different views,” he said. “The administration and board are very conservative and inflexible.”

Beckett, who is gay, said he did not date while attending Fuller for fear of being expelled, which affected his mental health and “added a lot of stress during an already difficult time.”

Dylan Parker, a doctoral student at Fuller based in Arkansas, supports the proposed changes.

“Students would be able to live faithfully within the standards of their own denominations and professors would be able to exercise academic freedom as long as they support the institution’s stance,” he said. He suggests the revisions would allow professors to be affirming or non-affirming of LGBTQ+ people.

The seminary has done a good job of remaining committed to multi-denominational dialogue, which in itself can be challenging, Parker said, but needs to do more to cultivate a culture where multiple perspectives feel invited to the table.

“I’ve not personally felt that Fuller is antagonistic toward any perspective,” he said. “But there is a general lack of clarity on what perspectives are welcome.”

The acknowledgement of theological diversity is certainly lacking in evangelical seminaries, Hawthorne said.

“They’ve had an inability to acknowledge the legitimate diversity of opinion among committed Christians who have studied Scripture, who are believers, and aren’t trying to go along with what is culturally easy.”

Jeff Chan, who graduated from Fuller in 2016 with a masters degree in Christian leadership and a Ph.D in psychology, now specializes in working with LGBTQ+ individuals and couples, particularly those conflicted between their sexual and religious identities. Chan, who grew up in the Deep South, said Fuller, at the time, seemed like a breath of fresh air.

“I was known as a gay man on campus and fellow students and professors appreciated hearing my position as a gay Christian,” he said.

But after he graduated, the lawsuits changed the atmosphere, spreading fear and uncertainty among students, Chan said. He says his positive experience at Fuller played a crucial role in reconciling his sexuality with his Christian faith.

Chan is excited to see how Fuller’s trustees vote on the proposed changes. He says he would teach at a campus where he is asked to formally acknowledge the college’s traditional view of marriage, yet is allowed to be himself.

“If I have a seat at the table, they can hold whatever position they want,” Chan said. “That still feels sad, but if it allows for that third space where people can come together, I think it’s still valuable.”

—APNews.com, May 29, 2025

• • • • •

The Red Terror

by Robert Merz

Despite all the excuses and finger pointing, liberals/socialists don't think that they lost the last election—they think that the people just voted wrong. As Lenin said: "People always have been and they always will be stupid victims of deceit and self-deception in politics." To influence these people, Lenin said: "We can and must write in a language which sows among the masses hate, revulsion, and scorn toward those who disagree with us." We have seen this tactic repeated in the negative stories about Trump and his supporters over the past decade. This is where totalitarianism starts. It ends with gulags and death.

US socialists have been sowing Lenin's hate, revulsion, and scorn at even a higher rate since the last election. They have been so successful that a recent poll indicated that 55% of them thought that assassinating President Trump would be justifiable.

To understand the thoughts, methodologies, and goals of modern leftists, you have to go back to the beginning and look at Lenin, the founder of the first socialist state.

The quest for power is what motivates today's socialists just as it did Lenin. Their agenda today is to attack their capitalist/bourgeois enemies to gain power no matter what the human cost. Some of the Left's favorite issues were in Lenin's crosshairs in the 19th century and socialists return to the same Marxist playbook.

Lenin was very clear on what he wanted to do to his political enemies. He called for a "war to the death" with no mercy for the enemies of socialism. He also said that any who opposed his armed uprising were enemies, traitors, and cowards. The Red Terror of the Russian Revolution and civil war cost between 10-12 million lives.

By 2020, things hadn't changed. Bernie Sanders' paid staffers wanted to kill the "rich" in an armed rebellion, favored the execution of moderate liberals, gulags for Trump supporters, and violence if Sanders lost the election. The GULAGs, (Glavnoye Upravleniye LAGerey) were started under Lenin and greatly expanded under Stalin.

Twenty twenty-five saw Trump's victory called an "existential crisis." And, as with Lenin, Democrats have been told they have to be ready to fight and die for the "cause." A Democrat congresswoman said that liberals are at "war." University staffers have said that Musk and DOGE employees are wanted dead or alive.

At the same time, news "fact checkers" have said that the supposed threats made by Democrats, aren't really threats at all. They even question whether attacks

on Teslas, owners, and dealerships are actually terrorism.

Still, Democrats want to be seen as "patriots" by the masses—at least when they're on camera. However, what did Lenin say about patriotism?

About his own country, Lenin said: "I don't care what becomes of Russia. To hell with it. All this is only the road to a World Revolution." Similarly, many "modern" left-wingers see themselves as citizens of the world, not as Americans. Additionally, many students in left-wing schools are being taught by these "world citizens" to hate their own country.

How did it come to this? Why do some Americans love anti-American propaganda? This perception of the US is worldwide, and it is no accident. It is the result of an unrelenting disinformation and reflexive control campaign that was crafted and orchestrated by the best in the USSR (and likely China as well). Many of the propaganda and disinformation themes that are seen in the press today have their roots in Moscow's massive Cold War propaganda apparatus. In many cases the groups that pushed and funded anti-US themes during the Cold War are the same groups that propagate anti-US messages and themes today.

Listed below are a dozen propaganda themes that are still used today. These representative samples could have been taken out of almost any modern "news" story.

1. The biggest threat to world peace (civilization and humanity) comes from the US war machine.
2. US militarist policy means to conduct international affairs from a position of strength.
3. Washington's (or the President's) worldview is simplistic and dangerous.
4. The US is pursuing a policy of aggression and confrontation.
5. The US military doctrine is based on the dangerous concept of a preemptive strike.
6. The cult of crude force has become central to US policy
7. Nuclear arms have given the US an arrogant attitude.
8. US neo-globalism shows a total contempt for the recognized principles of international relations.
9. US actions have calamitous consequences for all nations.
10. The US is stubborn and selfish.
11. US actions will not enhance US security or make the US stronger.
12. The US must get the consent of NATO and Western Europe before acting.

If these themes look familiar, they should. They all came out of two Cold War-era Soviet booklets: *Whence the Threat to Peace*, published in 1987 and *Star Wars: Delusions and Dangers*, published in 1985—and they

weren't new then either. At the that time they were aimed at Ronald Reagan. Now Trump is the target. The problem is that the effect of these themes over the decades has been cumulative.

In his 1899 book, *The Psychology of Socialism*, Gustave Le Bon said "A man is not a Socialist without hating some person or thing." Socialism is built on envy, hate, and violence. And gee, do the Liberals love to hate Trump. They will use every old propaganda trick in the book as well as new social media tricks, lies, and violence to influence and intimidate voters

When viewing modern "news" please consider the fact that Soviet-style propaganda operations use consistent messages put out over long periods of time to incrementally change people's perceptions. The messages emerge from several outlets so they can corroborate each other. Supporting themes are often put out in sequence to lead the audience to the desired conclusions. People believe the lies, thinking that they figured things out themselves using the "evidence" they were given. The engineered "facts" cement the half-truths and falsehoods delivered over months or years and stir up Lenin's desired hatred and violence.

As an example of Soviet tactics, look at all the recent coast-to-coast anti-Trump demonstrations, protests, riots, prison invasions, and other photo-ops. The ones that are dutifully highlighted by the compliant major media. These seem to be based on the Soviet model called Agitprop (agitation propaganda). Agitprop uses street theater, art, movies, demonstrations, and violence to spread the Left's political messages, stir up emotions, and create anger at class enemies. These days that seems to be anyone they call a Trumper, or an "oligarch." Funny how Musk is part of the oligarchy because he's rich, while Soros is not. Plus, you can see the same terminology and wording across the board in left-leaning news outlets. It's almost like their messages are being coordinated by a single entity—how Soviet.

Lastly, one might also consider today's dangerous "lawfare" to really be a dictatorship of the judiciary. It's liberal power to be used as a socialist last stand for when Americans vote "wrong."

—*American Thinker*, May 31, 2025

Green Theology, Part I

by Jack Hellner

We see continuous articles from the media which seek to scare the public into capitulating to the green pushers. No matter how wrong previous dire predictions have been, people posing as journalists never ask any questions. They just regurgitate what they are told.

For decades, we have been indoctrinated to believe that humans and our use of natural resources have caused temperatures to warm, ice to melt, and that melting is causing a disastrous sea level rise.

This article [US coasts face a crisis as land sinks and seas rise, Elizabeth Weise, May 27, 2025] is just a repetition of the talking points but there is no data showing a link between our use of oil, coal, natural gas, cars, asphalt, or anything else to show why the sea levels are supposedly rising, or going to rise rapidly. From *USA Today*:

US coasts face a crisis as land sinks and seas rise

In the past 100 years, sea levels have climbed about a foot or more in some US cities—11 inches in New York and Boston, 12 in Charleston, S.C., 16 in Atlantic City, 18 in Norfolk, Virginia, and 25 in Galveston, Texas, according to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Seas are forecast to rise from 8 inches to 23 inches along the nation's coasts by 2050, with the higher increases along the northern Gulf Coast and mid-Atlantic. Every inch of additional water is expected to move farther inland making flood events worse and putting more properties at risk.

Meanwhile, in many coastal areas, the land is sinking, making flooding an even greater issue.

* As atmospheric temperatures increase, the ocean absorbs more heat, which makes the water expand in volume. It's the same principle of physics that causes the liquid in a thermometer to expand as it gets hotter.

* Glaciers and ice sheets are melting at an accelerated rate, especially in Greenland and Antarctica, adding more water to the oceans. A study published on May 20 found that the ice is melting even faster than expected.

Another problem is that in many coastal areas, the land is sinking, making flooding an even greater issue.

Called "subsidence," the most common cause of the sinking is "massive ongoing groundwater extraction," a study published on May 8 found, though other forces are at work in some places. The cities include not just those on the coasts, where sea level rise is a concern, but many in the interior.

The article doesn't show that the best guess—and that is all it is, a guess—is that sea levels have risen a scant nine inches in the last 140 years. How the heck would anyone be able to measure sea levels that close when 70% of Earth is covered by water and the average depth of the oceans is over 12,000 feet? How would anyone determine what caused such a minor rise when there are so many natural variables in the climate, oceans, and atmosphere?

Then the article's author says that heat causes water to expand. Isn't that odd? Heat, as soon as temperatures reach over 32 degrees Fahrenheit, causes water to evaporate. How can heat cause water to both expand and evaporate? It does not appear that these predictions take into account how much water would just evaporate if the ice does melt.

When ice melts in water it does not raise the sea level—it stays the same, just like in a cup. There is no way that the ice on land would raise sea levels as much as predicted if it all melted, especially since so much would evaporate.

Why was the ice in the Antarctic growing up through 2014 if all the things we are told cause the ice to melt is actually true? Why don't journalists, the UN, and government officials care about scientific facts? The answer is they only care about controlling us and money, not facts. The meager growth so far in 2024 prolongs a recent downward trend. Prior to 2014, sea ice in the Antarctic was increasing slightly by about 1% per decade.

As for the land sinking: that is erosion. When the Earth is 70% covered by water, and when waves constantly pound the sea shore, land erodes. There is zero evidence that humans, our use of natural resources, or rising CO2 causes land erosion. It has always eroded. Anyone who lives on a lake knows you have to have some sort of border wall to prevent erosion.

Why would anyone believe that politicians and bureaucrats can control the climate with all its natural variables, and can accurately predict the sea levels and temperatures a hundred years from now?

The journalists, politicians, and bureaucrats who push the green agenda somehow say they had no idea that Biden was incompetent. Were they lying, or were they intentionally blind to mislead the public? How can we trust them now?

Why do rich people like the Obamas, Bill Gates, and Bloomberg, who push the green agenda, continue to buy mansions on the coast if they believe what they say?

—*American Thinker*, May 29, 2025

Green Theology, Part II

by Jack Hellner

For decades we had been told that humans, CO2, and our use of natural resources cause global warming. Then, when temperatures didn't consistently warm, they changed the narrative and language to "climate change."

It has been known since the 1970s that this isn't true.

While there was a global cooling period from 1940 to 1975, all the things that supposedly cause warming

were increasing rapidly, showing that it is and has always been a scam to blame oil, coal, cars, humans, cows, and CO2 for temperature and climate changes.

On the first Earth Day in 1970, the doom and gloom climate fear-mongers warned that billions would die from starvation due to a coming ice age. If temperatures are both warm and cool with rising use of natural resources, there is no correlation, and science says if there is no correlation you can't assume causation.

John Stossel has a great article titled "Climate Myths," which focuses on the lore surrounding climate change and global warming agendas; I've debunked those myths with additional research below.

Myth 1: The Arctic will soon be ice-free.

Facts: From the EPA's own numbers, we learn that in March of 1996, sea ice in the Arctic was 5.84 million square miles and in 2024, ice was 5.74 million, which is less than a 2% drop.

In September of 2012, sea ice was 1.38 million square miles and in September of 2024 it was 1.69 million—so an over 20% increase. Ice has always fluctuated.

Myth 2: Polar bears are going extinct.

Fact: In the 1960s, 17,000–19,000 was the highest of three scientific estimates of the polar bear population. Today, there are about 26,000 polar bears.

Myth 3: Climate change could create a massive global food shortage.

Fact: Food production has actually grown rapidly with rising CO2. Here's this:

Carbon dioxide helps plants grow in two ways.

First, it increases the rate of photosynthesis. Plants use sunlight to create sugars out of CO2 and water. When there's more CO2 in the atmosphere, this process can go faster.

Second, it means plants can use water more efficiently. When there's more CO2 in the atmosphere, the pores on the surface of the leaves that release water can close slightly, allowing them to take in the same amount of CO2 while losing less water.

Myth 4: Droughts are increasing.

Fact: Droughts are lower than they have been in decades.

Myth 5: Wildfires are increasing.

Fact: US Wildfires have actually declined, which scientists call a "bad thing."

Myth 6: Sea Levels are rapidly rising.

Fact: Sea levels are rising very slowly. The global average sea level has risen 8–9 inches (21–24 centimeters) since 1880.

Myth 7: Coral reefs are dying.

Fact: The Great Barrier Reef is doing very well:

Here are a few myths John Stossel didn't mention in his article:

Myth 8: Carbon credits reduce a person's or company's carbon footprint.

Fact: Carbon credits are essentially worthless pieces of paper so people and businesses can pretend they reduce their carbon emissions.

Myth 9: Humans and our use of natural resources is killing thousands of species each year.

Fact: Around 1,000 identified species of plants and animals have gone extinct in the last 500 years. The reason for this and the polar bear myth is to scare children and to get people to capitulate to the radical green agenda.

Myth 10: People who oppose the green agenda are climate change deniers and anti-science.

Fact: I have never seen anyone deny that the climate changes, but we are the ones who pay attention to scientific facts instead of predictions and computer models.

Myth 11: Carbon capture is the solution to the "greenhouse" effect.

Fact: Carbon capture is a massive waste of money, sequestering dangerous amounts of carbon which brings great risk to nearby communities:

Underground storage of carbon dioxide poses significant safety risks, such as potential leakage, contamination of drinking water, and stimulation of seismic activity. As one study states, "geological storage is associated with a string of side-effects." The US Department of Energy acknowledges the risk of carbon dioxide leakage, noting for example that carbon dioxide stored in deep geologic reservoirs could leak through cracks during periods of natural seismic activity and reach groundwater sources.

Myth 12: The UN and other rich green pushers believe what they are selling.

Fact: They fly in private jets, live in mansions, including on the ocean, and then lecture us that we shouldn't drive gas vehicles, use gas appliances, and eat meat.

What you will never find in reports pushing the green agenda: Any link between human population and crude oil usage, coal usage, amount of meat consumed, or number of gasoline powered cars and temperatures, sea levels, storm activity. There will be no facts because there is no direct correlation. The climate is and has always fluctuated with numerous natural variables.

How much money is spent by the government and sent to Harvard and other entities based on all the myths about climate change and what supposedly causes it? Policies should be based on facts, not beliefs, predictions, and computer models that can easily be manipulated and which have always been wrong.

—*American Thinker*, May 12, 2025

Communist, Carter, Canal

by Jerome R. Corsi

The late Jimmy Carter didn't come up spontaneously with the idea of giving away the Panama Canal, which America spent blood and gold on and which is an essential part of its national security. Instead, he had Robert A. Pastor, a communist, whispering in his ear.

A globalist who desired to merge incrementally the US, Mexico, and Canada into a "North American Union" (NAU) along the model of the European Union, Pastor's intellectual development was rooted in Marxism. Pastor played an instrumental role in the Carter administration's decision to relinquish control of the Panama Canal.

In what appears to have been his first job after being a teaching assistant graduate student while getting his Ph.D. at Harvard University's Department of Government, Robert A. Pastor signed on to be the Executive Director of the Linowitz Commission. The Linowitz Commission was formally named the "Rockefeller Foundation's Commission on US-Latin American Relations," but took its unofficial name from its chairman, Sol Linowitz. Linowitz had previously served as director of the socialist National Planning Association and was a paid, registered foreign agent of the Communist regime of Salvadore Allende in Chile.

One of the Linowitz Commission's primary recommendations was that the United States should give the Panama Canal to Panama. In a 1995 interview he gave for a publication in a law journal, Linowitz explained that it was wrong for the US to have sovereignty over the Panama Canal. Discussing the 1903 treaty that gave the US sovereignty over the Panama Canal, Linowitz commented, "That treaty was a source of shame to the Panamanians because it conveyed sovereignty over a large stretch of their territory to an occupying party."

After completing this assignment as Executive Director of the Linowitz Commission, Pastor signed on with the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS). Interestingly, the *curriculum vitae* that Pastor prepared for American University, where he taught before his death in 2014, mentioned his involvement with the Linowitz Commission but neglected to mention his association with IPS.

According to political scientist S. Steven Powell's definitive study of the IPS, the group was founded in 1963 with funding coming from the Rubin Foundation. Russian émigré Samuel Rubin was a registered member of the Communist Party who made his fortune in the cosmetic business of Faberge, Inc., which he founded in 1936 and sold for approximately \$25 million in 1963.

Cora Rubin Weiss, Samuel's daughter, continued funding IPS through the Rubin Foundation, while her husband, Peter Weiss, served as IPS chairman of the board of trustees. Author David Horowitz's *DiscoverTheNetworks.org* identified the IPS as "America's oldest leftwing think tank," which "has long supported Communist and Anti-American causes around the world," with a place for KGB agents from the Soviet embassy in Washington "to convene and strategize." By its own admission, the Institute for Policy Studies is "an avowedly radical organization, created to influence public policy in a leftist direction."

At IPS, Pastor participated in the Ad Hoc Working Group on Latin America, which produced a 1977 report entitled "The Southern Connection: Recommendations for a New Approach to Inter-American Relations." This paper found that the official presumption of US superiority in Latin America was "morally reprehensible." The IPS paper argued that human rights problems in Latin America were a direct result of our "virulent anticommunism" and "national development based on free play of market forces."

The IPS solution argued for the US to abandon our anti-communist allies in Latin America in favor of supporting "ideological pluralism," a code word designed to normalize the revolutionary socialist forces then fighting for power in Latin America. The IPS political agenda promoted an anti-American "Third Worldism" and the "self-flagellation" characteristic of Carter's foreign policy—an agenda with far-reaching consequences that were revealed when the Sandinistas fashioned their revolutionary society" in Nicaragua, "along the lines of Castro's Cuba." Pastor left the Linowitz Commission to become the director of the Office of Latin American and Caribbean Affairs in the National Security Council in the Carter White House. There, Pastor served as President Carter's "point man", advocating for the 1977 Carter-Torrijos Treaty (with Omar Torrijos, the dictatorial Panamanian head of state) that transferred the Panama Canal to Panama.

Pastor also played a role in convincing the Senate to vote for the Carter-Torrijos Treaty on April 18, 1978, despite staunch objections from conservative politicians, including Ronald Reagan, who objected on national security grounds. At the request of President Jimmy

Carter, Linowitz had helped negotiate the Carter-Torrijos Treaty, touring and speaking throughout the US to conservative groups opposing the Panama Canal giveaway.

In December 1993, when President Clinton nominated Pastor to be the US ambassador to Panama, Pastor's role in the Panama Canal giveaway came back to haunt him. Pastor's nomination had been approved by a 16-3 vote in the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and his confirmation looked virtually certain.

The nomination failed, however, and the administration withdrew it in February 1995 after Senator Jesse Helms swore to prevent a Senate vote on Pastor's nomination. Helms, who had vehemently opposed the turn-over of the Panama Canal, placed much of the blame squarely on Pastor, declaring when he opposed Pastor's nomination that Pastor "presided over one of the most disastrous and humiliating periods in the history of US involvement in Latin America."

Jean Kirkpatrick, UN ambassador under Ronald Reagan, commented presciently on the connections between the Linowitz Commission, the IPS, revolutionary socialism, and utopian globalism:

The ease with which the Linowitz recommendations were incorporated into the IPS analysis and report demonstrated how strong had become the affinity between the views of the foreign policy establishment and the New Left, how readily the categories of the new liberalism could be translated into those of revolutionary socialism, and how short a step it was from utopian globalism and the expectation of change to anti-American perspectives and revolutionary activism.

During Carter's presidency, Pastor played a major intellectual role in shaping the administration's dominant ideology that US capitalism was the culprit, not the solution—leading to public policy tilted toward viewing the United States as responsible for pervasive Latin American poverty. The only solution that Pastor and the other leftists making this argument could see was to promote Marxist revolutions throughout Latin America, helped by their willingness to weaken the US by abandoning US assets they viewed as imperialistic.

—*American Thinker*, January 26, 2025

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009) has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and is offered free of charge to anyone asking for it. The Crusade's address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is 719-685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (CACC is a 501C3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. You may also access earlier editions of *The Schwarz Report* and make donations at www.schwarzreport.org. Permission to reproduce materials from this Report is granted provided that the article and author are given along with our name and address. Our daily blog address is www.thunderontheright.org.